

$$AC_\gamma((\psi * \psi)) = AC_\gamma(\psi) *_\gamma AC_\gamma(\psi) \text{ if } \arg(\gamma(t)) = \text{const} \neq 0 \quad (5.266)$$

(Since ψ is analytic along such a line). The notation $*_\gamma$ means (2.21) with $p = \gamma(t)$.

Note though that, suggestive as it might be, (5.266) is *incorrect* if the condition stated there is not satisfied and γ is a path that crosses the real line (see §5.11a)! From (5.266) and (5.264), we get

$$\begin{aligned} (\psi * \psi)^- &= \psi^- * \psi^- = \psi^+ * \psi^+ + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\mathcal{H} \sum_{m=0}^k \psi_m^+ * \psi_{k-m}^+ \right) \circ \tau_{-k} = \\ &(\psi * \psi)^+ + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\mathcal{H} \sum_{m=0}^k (\psi_m * \psi_{k-m})^+ \right) \circ \tau_{-k} \end{aligned} \quad (5.267)$$

Note. Check that, if f, g are 0 on \mathbb{R}^- and $k \geq m$, then $\int_0^p f(s-m)g\{p-[s-(k-m)]\}ds = \int_0^{p-m} f(t)g(p-t-k)dt = \int_0^{p-k} f(t)g(p-k-t)dt = (\mathcal{H} f * g)(p-k)$.

Now the analyticity of $\psi * \psi$ in \mathcal{R}_1 follows: on the interval $p \in (j, j+1)$ we have from (5.265)

$$(\psi * \psi)^{-j}(p) = (\psi * \psi)^-(p) = (\psi^{*2})^+(p) + \sum_{k=1}^j \sum_{m=0}^k (\psi_m * \psi_{k-m})^+(p-k) \quad (5.268)$$

Again, formula (5.268) is useful for analytically continuing $(\psi * \psi)^{-j}$ along a path as the one depicted in Fig. 5.1. By dominated convergence, $(\psi * \psi)^\pm \in \mathcal{Q}_{(0, \infty)}^\pm$, (5.206). By (5.265), ψ_m are analytic in $\mathcal{R}_1^+ := \mathcal{R}_1 \cap \{p : \text{Im}(p) > 0\}$ and thus by (5.266) the right side of (5.268) can be continued analytically in \mathcal{R}_1^+ . The same is then true for $(\psi * \psi)^-$. The function $(\psi * \psi)$ can be extended analytically along paths that cross the real line from below. Likewise, $(\psi * \psi)^+$ can be continued analytically in the lower half-plane so that $(\psi * \psi)$ is analytic in \mathcal{R}_1 .

Combining (5.268), (5.266) and (5.263) we get a similar formula for the analytic continuation of the convolution product of two functions, f, g satisfying the assumptions of Proposition 5.259

$$(f * g)^{-j+} = f^+ * g^+ + \sum_{k=1}^j \left(\mathcal{H} \sum_{m=0}^k f_m^+ * g_{k-m}^+ \right) \circ \tau_{-k} \quad (5.269)$$

Note that (5.269) corresponds to (5.264) and in those notations we have:

$$(f * g)_k = \sum_{m=0}^k f_m * g_{k-m} \quad (5.270)$$