

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Topology and its Applications

www.elsevier.com/locate/topol



Steenrod problem and the domination relation



Jean-François Lafont ^a, Christoforos Neofytidis ^{b,*}

- ^a Department of Mathematics, Ohio State University, Columbus, OH 43210, USA
- b Section de Mathématiques, Université de Genève, 2-4 rue du Lièvre, Case postale 64, 1211 Genève 4, Switzerland

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 12 August 2018 Accepted 28 December 2018 Available online 15 January 2019

MSC: 55M25 55N45 55P20 55R20 55R40

55S10 55S35 57N65

Keywords: Steenrod problem Domination relation Simplicial volume Thom space Steenrod squares

ABSTRACT

We indicate how to combine some classical topology (Thom's work on the Steenrod problem) with some modern topology (simplicial volume) to show that every map between certain manifolds must have degree zero. We furthermore discuss a homotopy theoretic interpretation of parts of our proof, using Thom spaces and Steenrod powers.

© 2019 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Gromov introduced the domination relation on closed oriented n-dimensional manifolds [3, pg. 173]. Given two closed oriented n-dimensional manifolds M and N, we say M dominates N if there is a map $f \colon M \to N$ of degree $\deg(f) \neq 0$. In this short note, we add to the known list of obstructions by showing the relevance of Thom's work [23] on the Steenrod problem [8].

Theorem 1.1. Let M_0 , N_0 , M', and N' be closed oriented n-dimensional manifolds, $7 \le k \le n-3$ an integer, and having the following properties:

E-mail addresses: jlafont@math.ohio-state.edu (J.-F. Lafont), Christoforos.Neofytidis@unige.ch (C. Neofytidis).

^{*} Corresponding author.

- (a) Assume N_0 has a k-dimensional homology class that is not representable by manifolds;
- (b) Every k-dimensional homology class of M_0 is representable by manifolds;
- (c) N' has positive simplicial volume;
- (d) M' is a product of closed oriented manifolds of dimensions ≤ 9 , where all factors have positive simplicial volume and torsion-free homology in degrees $\leq k$.

Then there are infinitely many pairs of positive integers (s,t) with the property that $||M_0\#_sM'|| \ge ||N_0\#_tN'||$, but nevertheless every continuous map $f: M_0\#_sM' \to N_0\#_tN'$ has $\deg(f) = 0$.

In the theorem, we denote by $X \#_s Y$ the iterated connected sum of X with s copies of Y.

In general, it is a difficult question to determine whether there is a non-zero degree map between two manifolds. Obstructions to the existence of a map of non-zero degree have been developed using a variety of tools from algebraic topology; we refer to [12] for a recent survey on related results. One of the most basic methods comes from comparing the cohomology of M and N. Some known obstructions arising from cohomology include: (i) inequalities on the ranks of the (co)homology groups, (ii) injectivity of induced homomorphisms in cohomology, (iii) (sub)ring structures of the cohomology ring, and (iv) structure of the cohomology ring as a module over the Steenrod algebra; see [7,19,15,14] for various results that involve the aforementioned techniques. However, when the cohomology rings of the manifolds are not a priori known, such as in Theorem 1.1, then these basic obstructions cannot be directly used.

Another approach to obstructing a non-zero degree map comes from semi-norms on homology, such as the simplicial volume ||M||. Recall that the simplicial volume ||M|| is a non-negative real number (introduced by Gromov [9]) that roughly measures how efficiently M can be "triangulated over \mathbb{R} ". This invariant has the so-called functorial property that, if $f: M \to N$ is a continuous map, then $||M|| \ge |\deg(f)| \cdot ||N||$. While the simplicial volume cannot be used by itself to establish Theorem 1.1, it will feature prominently in the proof.

In fact, the key idea of our proof is to use the Steenrod problem as a bridge between these two main tools – cohomology obstructions, and simplicial volume. This allows us to use *both* techniques together in a situation where neither one individually is strong enough to work.

1.1. Outline

In Section 2 we prove Theorem 1.1 and in Section 3 we give examples of manifolds satisfying the assumptions of that theorem. Finally, in Section 4 we discuss alterations of our hypotheses, as well as alternative arguments and approaches for parts of our proofs.

1.2. Acknowledgments

Part of this work was carried out during collaborative visits of the authors at Ohio State University and at University of Geneva. The authors thank these institutions for their hospitality. The authors would also like to thank Pierre de la Harpe for helpful comments. J.-F. L. was partially supported by the U.S.A. NSF, under grants DMS-1510640 and DMS-1812028. C. N. was partially supported by the Swiss NSF, under grant FNS200021 169685.

2. Proof of the main theorem

In order to establish Theorem 1.1, we proceed by contradiction. Assuming that there is a non-zero degree map $f: M_0 \#_s M' \longrightarrow N_0 \#_t N'$, we will see that this forces certain constraints on the integers s and t, and that there are infinitely many pairs of integers for which these constraints fail to hold. To simplify notation,

let us denote by

$$M_s := M_0 \#_s M'$$
 and $N_t := N_0 \#_t N'$,

and assume we have a non-zero degree map $f: M_s \to N_t$.

We recall that a degree k homology class $x \in H_k(X;\mathbb{Z})$ is representable (by manifolds) if there exists a closed oriented k-manifold Y and a map $\phi \colon Y \to X$ with the property that $\phi_*([Y]) = x$, where $[Y] \in H_k(Y;\mathbb{Z})$ denotes the fundamental class of Y. Thom [23] proved that, when X is an n-manifold, homology classes are always representable in degrees $1 \le k \le 6$ and degrees $n-2 \le k \le n$. Thus one can only have non-representable classes in degrees $1 \le k \le n - 3$. In view of hypothesis (a), we will henceforth focus on a degree k within that range (in particular, $n \ge 10$). In the context of connected sums of manifolds $X = X_1 \# \cdots \# X_m$, Mayer-Vietoris gives us a splitting

$$H_k(X; \mathbb{Z}) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^m H_k(X_i; \mathbb{Z}).$$

Let us denote by $\rho_i: H_k(X_i; \mathbb{Z}) \to H_k(X; \mathbb{Z})$ the injective homomorphism induced from the Mayer–Vietoris sequence. We will need the following elementary result.

Lemma 2.1. For a connect sum $X = X_1 \# \cdots \# X_m$ of closed n-manifolds, and $7 \le k \le n-3$, the following two statements are equivalent:

- (1) every homology class $\alpha \in H_k(X; \mathbb{Z})$ is representable;
- (2) for every i, every homology class $\beta \in H_k(X_i; \mathbb{Z})$ is representable.

Proof. To see that (1) implies (2), let $\beta \in H_k(X_i; \mathbb{Z})$ be a homology class in one of the summands. Then via the Mayer–Vietoris splitting, we can consider the homology class $(-1)^{i+1}\rho_i(\beta) \in H_k(X; \mathbb{Z})$. From (1), we can represent this homology class, so there is a map from a closed oriented k-manifold $\phi \colon Y^k \to X$ with $(-1)^{i+1}\rho_i(\beta) = \phi_*([Y])$. Let $q_i \colon X \to X_i$ be the map that collapses all the other summands to points. Then it is easy to see that the composite $(q_i)_* \circ (-1)^{i+1}\rho_i$ is the identity map on $H_k(X_i; \mathbb{Z})$. So by taking the composite $q_i \circ \phi \colon Y \to X \to X_i$, we obtain a continuous map from a closed oriented k-manifold having the property that

$$(q_i \circ \phi)_*([Y]) = (q_i)_* (\phi_*([Y])) = (q_i)_* ((-1)^{i+1} \rho_i(\beta)) = \beta.$$

Since β and i were arbitrary, this establishes (2).

To see that (2) implies (1), let $\alpha \in H_k(X; \mathbb{Z})$ be an arbitrary homology class. Using the Mayer-Vietoris splitting, we can write $\alpha = \sum_i \rho_i(\alpha_i)$, where each $\alpha_i \in H_k(X_i; \mathbb{Z})$. From (2), we have for each i a closed oriented k-manifold Y_i and a map $\phi_i \colon Y_i \to X_i$ having the property that $(\phi_i)_*([Y_i]) = \alpha_i$. We can homotope the maps ϕ_i to be transverse to the finite collection of points where we take the connect sums, and since k < n, this just means that each ϕ_i has image in the complement of the neighborhoods where the connect sum is taken. We thus obtain a map $\coprod \phi_i \colon \coprod_{i=1}^m Y_i \to X_1 \# \cdots \# X_m$, well-defined up to homotopy (since k < n - 1), from the disjoint union of the Y_i into X. By construction, it immediately follows that

$$(\coprod \phi_i)_* \left(\left[\coprod_{i=1}^m Y_i \right] \right) = \sum_i \rho_i \left((\phi_i)_* ([Y_i]) \right) = \sum_i \rho_i (\alpha_i) = \alpha,$$

which completes the proof. \Box

An immediate consequence of Lemma 2.1 is that, in view of hypothesis (a), every N_t has some non-representable degree k homology class. We would now like to consider the corresponding question for the source manifolds M_s . There is the following elementary

Lemma 2.2. For a product $X = X_1 \times \cdots \times X_m$ of closed manifolds and a given integer k, assume that for every factor X_i we have

- (1) every homology class $\alpha \in H_i(X_i; \mathbb{Z}), j \leq k$, is representable, and
- (2) the homology groups $H_i(X_i; \mathbb{Z})$ are torsion-free for $j \leq k$.

Then every homology class $\alpha \in H_i(X; \mathbb{Z})$ is representable.

Proof. It is sufficient to show that an additive basis for the homology $H_j(X; \mathbb{Z})$ $(j \leq k)$ is representable. Note that from hypothesis (2), a simple induction shows there is no Tor term in the Künneth formula for $H_j(X; \mathbb{Z})$. Thus $H_j(X; \mathbb{Z})$ is generated by cohomology classes of the form $\alpha_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \alpha_m$, where $\alpha_i \in H_{j_i}(X_i; \mathbb{Z})$ and $j = \sum j_i$. Note that each $j_i \leq j \leq k$, so from hypothesis (1), we have closed oriented manifolds Y_i with $\dim(Y_i) = j_i$, and a map $\phi_i \colon Y_i \to X_i$ satisfying $(\phi_i)_*([Y_i]) = \alpha_i$. Then forming the product map

$$\prod_{i=1}^{m} \phi_i \colon \prod_{i=1}^{m} Y_i \to \prod_{i=1}^{m} X_i = X,$$

we have that

$$(\prod_{i=1}^m \phi_i)_* \left(\left[\prod_{i=1}^m Y_i\right] \right) = \bigotimes_{i=1}^m \left((\phi_i)_*([Y_i]) \right) = \alpha_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes \alpha_m = \alpha.$$

This completes the proof of the lemma. \Box

Remark 2.3. As we shall see in Section 4.1, Lemma 2.2 does not hold if we remove the torsion-free assumption (2).

Now from hypotheses (b) and (d), it follows that for all of the M_s , every degree k homology class is representable. Indeed, Thom showed that for manifolds of dimension ≤ 9 , every homology class is representable. Applying Lemma 2.2, we see M' has every homology class representable. Lemma 2.1 then tells us that every degree k homology class of M_s is representable.

Next, we claim that if $f: M_s \to N_t$ has non-zero degree, then $|\deg(f)| \ge 2$. To see this, let us assume $|\deg(f)| = 1$ and argue by contradiction. Since f has degree one, we know that $f_* \colon H_k(M_s; \mathbb{Z}) \to H_k(N_t; \mathbb{Z})$ is surjective. So pick a class $y \in H_k(M_s; \mathbb{Z})$ with the property that $f_*(y) = x$, where x is the non-representable class (recall that such class exists by assumption (a) and Lemma 2.1). Then since every class in M_s is representable, there is a closed oriented k-manifold Y and a map $\phi: Y \to M_s$ with $\phi_*([Y]) = y$. Composing with f, we obtain a map $f \circ \phi \colon Y \to N_t$, and

$$(f \circ \phi)_*([Y]) = f_*(\phi_*([Y])) = f_*(y) = x,$$

which contradicts the fact that x was non-representable. Thus we see that the Steenrod problem yields a lower bound on the degree of our hypothetical map.

Finally, to complete the proof, let us make use of the simplicial volume to obtain an incompatible inequality. Recall that, for manifolds of dimension > 2, the simplicial volume is additive under connected

sums. From our discussion above, if f is a non-zero degree map, then $|\deg(f)| \ge 2$. Noting that our manifolds have dimension $n \ge 10$, we obtain

$$2 \le |\deg(f)| \le \frac{||M_0 \#_s M'||}{||N_0 \#_t N'||} = \frac{||M_0|| + s||M'||}{||N_0|| + t||N'||}$$

Solving, we see that t has to satisfy the linear upper bound

$$t \le s \left(\frac{||M'||}{2||N'||} \right) + \left(\frac{||M_0|| - 2||N_0||}{2||N'||} \right).$$

Note that, by hypothesis (c), we have ||N'|| > 0, so the expression above is indeed well defined. On the other hand, the condition $||M_s|| \ge ||N_t||$ translates to the inequality

$$t \le s \left(\frac{||M'||}{||N'||} \right) + \left(\frac{||M_0|| - ||N_0||}{||N'||} \right).$$

We conclude that, as long as the integer t satisfies the inequality

$$s\left(\frac{||M'||}{2||N'||}\right) + \left(\frac{||M_0|| - 2||N_0||}{2||N'||}\right) < t \le s\left(\frac{||M'||}{||N'||}\right) + \left(\frac{||M_0|| - ||N_0||}{||N'||}\right)$$

there are no non-zero degree maps $f: M_s \to N_t$, even though $||M_s|| \ge ||N_t||$. By hypothesis (d), the simplicial volume of the factors of M' is positive, and since positivity of simplicial volume is inherited by products [9], we conclude that ||M'|| > 0. Thus the linear bounds above both have (different) positive slopes. Comparing these slopes, it immediately follows that there are infinitely many pairs of positive integers s, t which satisfy the inequality above, concluding the proof of Theorem 1.1.

3. Examples

In this section we give examples of manifolds that fulfill the hypotheses of Theorem 1.1.

3.1. Examples of N_0 , N'

Concerning N_0 , Thom's work [23] already featured examples of spaces with some non-representable homology class. For instance, he shows that one can take the product of two 7-dimensional Lens spaces $L(7,3) \times L(7,3)$, resulting in a closed 14-dimensional manifold containing an explicit 7-dimensional homology class which is not representable (see [23, pgs. 62–63]). Another explicit example can be found in a paper of Bohr–Hanke–Kotschick, who consider the 10-dimensional compact Lie group Sp(2), and give an explicit 7-dimensional homology class which is not representable (see [1, pgs. 484–485]). Taking products with spheres yields higher dimensional examples with not representable classes.

If the reader so desires, one can even arrange for N_0 to be aspherical. Indeed, one can apply the hyperbolization technique of Charney–Davis [4] to an n-manifold X with a not representable homology class. The resulting n-manifold h(X) will be aspherical, and it is easy to check that it will also have a not representable homology class. Iterating this, one can obtain infinitely many distinct n-manifolds, all of which have a not representable homology class. The cohomology rings of these manifolds are hard to explicitly compute.

Manifolds N' are much easier to produce. Indeed, examples of manifolds with non-zero simplicial volume include

• closed aspherical manifolds with non-cyclic hyperbolic fundamental groups [17,18,10], such as closed negatively curved manifolds [13], and

• closed locally symmetric manifolds of non-compact type [2,16].

Moreover, if X is any n-manifold, then applying the Charney-Davis hyperbolization produces an h(X) with the property that ||h(X)|| > 0. Taking products and connected sums preserves the positivity of simplicial volume, giving rise to many possibilities for N'.

3.2. Examples of M_0 , M'

Concerning M_0 , we need to identify manifolds with all degree k homology classes representable by manifolds. It is easier to seek manifolds with *all* homology classes (of any degree) representable by manifolds – call this property (R). There are a few basic examples and constructions that one can use. First of all, tori always have property (R). Also, it follows from work of Thom [23] that any closed manifold of dimension ≤ 9 has property (R).

In addition, one can use Lemma 2.2 to produce higher dimensional examples with property (R). In order to do this, one needs low dimensional examples which also have torsion-free homology groups (see our condition (d) for M'). In general, it seems difficult to produce negatively curved manifolds with no torsion in their homology groups. Examples are known in dimension n=3, where one can use Dehn surgery to construct infinitely many closed hyperbolic 3-manifolds which are (integral) homology 3-spheres (see [22, pgs. 341–342]). This was extended to dimension n=4 by Ratcliffe–Tschantz [22], who constructed infinitely many aspherical homology 4-spheres. Taking products of surfaces with these 3- and 4-dimensional examples, our Lemma 2.2 yields examples in all higher dimensions. The authors do not know of any non-product examples in dimensions ≥ 5 .

Concerning M', one wants to additionally ensure that the factors have positive simplicial volume. This is immediate for surfaces and for the hyperbolic 3-manifolds. While the 4-dimensional examples of Ratcliffe–Tschantz are no longer hyperbolic, they still have positive simplicial volume. Indeed, these manifolds are obtained by doing Dehn surgery on a collection of linked 2-tori in the 4-sphere. Work of Osin [21] and Groves–Manning [11] implies that the resulting manifold is hyperbolic relative to a collection of \mathbb{Z}^2 subgroups. An argument of Connell–Wang then implies that the comparison map from bounded cohomology to ordinary cohomology is surjective in degrees ≥ 3 (see [5, Remark 1.3]). This forces the Ratcliffe–Tschantz examples to have positive simplicial volume. Thus any product of such manifolds would produce a valid M'.

4. Concluding remarks

We finish our discussion with a few remarks on the hypotheses of our main result, as well as with a homotopy theoretic viewpoint of parts of its proof.

4.1. On the product lemma

We note that part of the difficulty in finding examples of M_0 , M' for our main theorem is linked with the "torsion-free homology" hypothesis in our product Lemma 2.2. We note however that Lemma 2.2 is false if one removes hypothesis (2): Thom's example discussed above provides a counterexample. In Thom's example, $M := L(7,3) \times L(7,3)$ is a product of 7-dimensional manifolds, so both factors have property (R). Nevertheless, Thom shows the product M does **not** have property (R), as there is an explicit class in $H_7(M;\mathbb{Z})$ which is non-representable. This is directly linked to the fact that, in the Künneth formula for the homology of M, the Tor term is non-zero (due to torsion in the homology of L(7,3)). Thus the collection of homology classes that are "obviously" representable only form a finite index subgroup (of index 9) inside $H_7(M;\mathbb{Z})$.

4.2. The range of slopes

Given any class $x \in H_k(N_t; \mathbb{Z})$, Poincaré duality implies that, if $f: M_s \to N_t$ has non-zero degree, then there exists $y \in H_k(M_s; \mathbb{Z})$ such that $f_*(y) = \deg(f) \cdot x$. Since every class in M_s is representable by manifolds, we conclude as in the proof of Theorem 1.1 that $\deg(f) \cdot x$ is representable. Thus, if the class x in item (a) has the property that the multiples $x, 2 \cdot x, ..., (d-1) \cdot x$ are not representable, then this immediately yields the lower bound $|\deg(f)| \ge d$. Repeating the last calculation of the proof of our main theorem, which involves the simplicial volume, we conclude that as long as t satisfies the inequality

$$s\left(\frac{||M'||}{d||N'||}\right) + \left(\frac{||M_0|| - d||N_0||}{d||N'||}\right) < t \le s\left(\frac{||M'||}{||N'||}\right) + \left(\frac{||M_0|| - ||N_0||}{||N'||}\right),$$

there are no maps $f: M_s \to N_t$ of non-zero degree, even though $||M_s|| \ge ||N_t||$. Therefore, the integer d on the left-hand side of the above inequality which reflects the minimum number for which $d \cdot x$ is representable by manifolds, determines the range where we can find pairs (s, t) satisfying Theorem 1.1.

4.3. Using mapping degree sets and other semi-norms

In hypotheses (c) and (d) of Theorem 1.1, the manifolds N' and M' have positive simplicial volume. For M' this is due to positivity of simplicial volume being preserved under products. Also, both M_s and N_t have positive simplicial volume because of the additivity of the simplicial volume under taking connected sums (recall that their dimension is $n \geq 10$). It would be interesting to replace N' and M' with manifolds whose simplicial volume is zero, but which have another non-vanishing semi-norm ν so that $\nu(M_s) \geq \nu(N_t)$ but M_s does not dominate N_t . One major difficulty is that not many examples of ν seems to be known with well-understood behavior under taking products or connected sums. Furthermore, in order to apply Theorem 1.1, one would need all assumptions of item (d) to be satisfied (or just find a single M' with every degree k homology class representable). It is natural to believe that (non virtually trivial) circle bundles over negatively curved manifolds, certain hypertori bundles over locally symmetric manifolds of non-compact type, and products of such spaces are good candidates for such new N' and M'. Indeed, for many of those manifolds it is known that they can be dominated by another manifold with only finitely many different degrees, see [20,6,19].

4.4. Homotopy theoretic viewpoint

We used the representable versus non-representable classes to argue that the induced map f_* on integral homology could not be surjective, and hence gave the lower bound $|\deg(f)| \geq 2$. We now indicate how Thom's work on the Steenrod problem [23] gives a homotopical viewpoint on that portion of our proof.

Thom showed that $x \in H_k(X; \mathbb{Z})$ is representable if and only if the Poincaré dual $PD(x) \in H^{n-k}(X; \mathbb{Z})$ can be realized as a pullback $PD(x) = \psi^*(\tau)$, where $\psi \colon X \to MSO(n-k)$ is a continuous map, and $\tau \in MSO(n-k)$ is the Thom class in the Thom space MSO(n-k). Of course, from the homotopical viewpoint, we can also view the Thom class as a map

$$\tau : MSO(n-k) \to K(\mathbb{Z}, n-k),$$

well-defined up to homotopy. Post-composing with τ then induces, for any space X, a map

$$[X, MSO(n-k)] \xrightarrow{\tau \circ -} [X, K(\mathbb{Z}, n-k)].$$

The target space is just $H^{n-k}(X;\mathbb{Z})$, and stating that a manifold X has every degree k homology class representable is equivalent to stating that the map above is surjective, i.e. that every map $g\colon X\to K(\mathbb{Z},n-k)$ factors, up to homotopy, through $\tau\colon MSO(n-k)\to K(\mathbb{Z},n-k)$,

$$MSO(n-k)$$

$$\tilde{g} \qquad \qquad \downarrow \tau$$

$$X \xrightarrow{g} K(\mathbb{Z}, n-k)$$

With this in hand, we can interpret the inequality $|\deg(f)| \ge 2$ in our proof homotopically as follows. If $|\deg(f)| = 1$, then the induced map f^* on cohomology is injective. We can now consider the following commutative diagram

$$[N_t, MSO(n-k)] \stackrel{PT}{\longleftarrow} [M_s, MSO(n-k)]$$

$$\uparrow \circ - \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \uparrow \circ -$$

$$[N_t, K(\mathbb{Z}, n-k)] \stackrel{-\circ f}{\longrightarrow} [M_s, K(\mathbb{Z}, n-k)]$$

Indeed, Thom's work, our hypotheses (b) and (d), and Lemmas 2.1, 2.2 allows us to see that the right hand vertical map is surjective. On the other hand, our hypothesis (a) and Lemma 2.1 implies that the left hand vertical map is **not** surjective. Finally, the existence of the top map, which is guaranteed from the Pontrjagin–Thom construction, along with commutativity of the diagram, yields a contradiction. Thus, from this viewpoint, our argument is making use of whether or not maps to the classifying space $K(\mathbb{Z}, n-k)$ can factor through MSO(n-k). It is tempting to wonder if one could similarly obtain lower bounds on the degree by considering whether or not maps factor through other canonical spaces.

4.5. Relation with Steenrod powers

In both of the examples of N_0 mentioned in Section 3.1, the non-representability of the homology class is detected via a suitable Steenrod power. It is natural to ask whether our main theorem could also be established purely by considering the cohomology structure as a module over the Steenrod algebra (by arguments similar to those in [15]). This is closely related to the problem of understanding the homotopy type of the spaces MSO(n-k), which generally seems to be a difficult problem.

In contrast, it is worth noting that the unoriented version of Steenrod's problem, corresponding to maps into MO(n-k), is indeed completely detectable in terms of Steenrod squares (see [23, Section 6, pgs. 36–43]). From the homotopy theoretic viewpoint, the key difference is that MO(r) has the 2r-homotopy type of a product of Eilenberg-MacLane spaces, i.e. the first 2r stages of the Postnikov tower are just an iterated product. In contrast, the Postnikov tower for MSO(n-k) has non-trivial fiber bundles appearing at early stages. This opens up the possibility of having some higher cohomology operations appearing as obstructions (see [14] for an illustration of these sorts of phenomena).

References

- C. Bohr, B. Hanke, D. Kotschick, Cycles, submanifolds, and structures on normal bundles, Manuscr. Math. 108 (4) (2002) 483–494.
- M. Bucher-Karlsson, Simplicial volume of locally symmetric spaces covered by SL₃R/SO(3), Geom. Dedic. 125 (2007) 203-224.
- [3] J.A. Carlson, D. Toledo, Harmonic mappings of Kähler manifolds to locally symmetric spaces, IHES Publ. Math. 69 (1989) 173–201.

- [4] R. Charney, M.W. Davis, Strict hyperbolization, Topology 34 (2) (1995) 329–350.
- [5] C. Connell, S. Wang, Positivity of simplicial volume for nonpositively curved manifolds with a Ricci-type curvature condition, to appear in Groups Geom. Dyn. (2019), preprint available at arXiv:1704.00099.
- [6] P. Derbez, Y. Liu, H. Sun, S. Wang, Volume of representations and mapping degree, preprint, arXiv:1703.07443.
- [7] H. Duan, S. Wang, Non-zero degree maps between 2n-manifolds, Acta Math. Sin. Engl. Ser. 20 (2004) 1-14.
- [8] S. Eilenberg, On the problems of topology, Ann. Math. (2) 50 (1949) 247–260.
- [9] M. Gromov, Volume and bounded cohomology, IHES Publ. Math. 56 (1982) 5–99.
- [10] M. Gromov, Hyperbolic groups, in: Essays in Group Theory, in: Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ., vol. 8, Springer, New York–Berlin, 1987, pp. 75–263.
- [11] D. Groves, J.F. Manning, Dehn filling in relatively hyperbolic groups, Isr. J. Math. 168 (2008) 317–429.
- [12] P. de la Harpe, Brouwer degree, domination of manifolds and groups presentable by products, Bull. Manifold Atlas (2017).
- [13] H. Inoue, K. Yano, The Gromov invariant of negatively curved manifolds, Topology 21 (1) (1982) 83–89.
- [14] C. Kennedy, Construction of Maps by Postnikov Towers, Ph.D. Thesis, The Ohio State University, 2018.
- [15] J.-F. Lafont, C. Neofytidis, Sets of degrees of maps between SU(2)-bundles over the 5-sphere, to appear in Transform. Groups (2019), https://doi.org/10.1007/S00031-018-9490-9, preprint available at arXiv:1710.10440.
- [16] J.-F. Lafont, B. Schmidt, Simplicial volume of closed locally symmetric spaces of non-compact type, Acta Math. 197 (1) (2006) 129–143.
- [17] I. Mineyev, Straightening and bounded cohomology of hyperbolic groups, Geom. Funct. Anal. 11 (2001) 807–839.
- [18] I. Mineyev, Bounded cohomology characterizes hyperbolic groups, Q. J. Math. 53 (1) (2002) 5–73.
- [19] C. Neofytidis, Degrees of self-maps of products, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN 22 (2017) 6977–6989.
- [20] C. Neofytidis, On a problem of Hopf for circle bundles over aspherical manifolds with hyperbolic fundamental groups, preprint, arXiv:1712.03582.
- [21] D.V. Osin, Peripheral fillings of relatively hyperbolic groups, Invent. Math. 167 (2) (2007) 295–326.
- [22] J.G. Ratcliffe, S.T. Tschantz, Some examples of aspherical 4-manifolds that are homology 4-spheres, Topology 44 (2) (2005) 341–350.
- [23] R. Thom, Quelques propriétés globales des variétés différentiables, Comment. Math. Helv. 28 (1954) 17–86.