## Changes to the third printing Measure, Topology, and Fractal Geometry

## May 18, 2013 by Gerald A. Edgar

Page 34 Line 9. After summer program. add Exercise 1.6.3 and 1.6.4 are stated as "either/or". It is possible that both alternatives occur: there is some number with more than one expansion, while there is another number with no expansion. For example, modify the Eisenstein number system (Exercise 1.6.10) by using base b = -2, but using only 3 of the digits, say  $D = \{0, 1, \omega\}$ . (Thanks to Peter Hinow for this example.) Page 43 Line 6. Replace open set U by open set TPage 43 Line 7. Replace  $U = \bigcup A$  by  $T = \bigcup U$ **Page 84 Line 7.** After 3.1.1. add Let W and F be as on p. 83. **Page 96 Line 5. Replace** no cover of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  by bounded open sets with order 1. **by** no cover with order 1 of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  by open sets with bounded diameter.

Page 97 Line 9. Replace  $F \cup \bigcup_{i=2}^{n} B_i$  by  $F_1 \cup \bigcup_{i=2}^{n+2} B_i$ Page 97 Line 10. Replace  $\bigcup_{i=2}^{n+2} B_i$  by  $\bigcup_{i=3}^{n+2} B_i$ 

Page 97 Line 15. Replace  $F_2 \subseteq B_1$  by  $F_1 \subseteq B_1$ 

Page 97 Line -14. Replace Theorem 3.4.2 by Theorem 3.4.3

Page 100 Line 12. Replace Exercise by

By Theorems (3.4.4) and (3.4.5), we may conclude that  $\text{Cov } \mathbb{R} = 1$  since  $\text{ind } \mathbb{R} = 1$ . Instead, compute  $\text{Cov } \mathbb{R}$  directly from the definition of covering dimension.

Page 114 Line 10. After Mauldin–Williams graph

add For technical reasons we assume each vertex has at least one edge leaving it.

**Page 115 Line -8. Replace** complete metric **by** nonempty complete metric

**Page 129 Line -18. Replace two paragraphs beginning** Now we take by

Now we take the case  $\mathcal{L}(A) = \infty$ . All of the sets  $A \cap [-n, n]$  are measurable, so there exist open sets  $U_n \supseteq A \cap [-n, n]$  and compact sets  $F_n \subseteq A \cap [-n, n]$  with  $\mathcal{L}(U_n \setminus F_n) < \varepsilon/2^{n+2}$ . Define  $U'_n = U_n \cap \left((-\infty, -n+1+\varepsilon/2^{n+2}) \cup (n-1-\varepsilon/2^{n+2}, \infty)\right)$  and  $F'_n = F_n \cap \left([-n, -n+1+\varepsilon/2^{n+2}) \cup (n-1-\varepsilon/2^{n+2}, \infty)\right)$  $1 \cup [n-1,n]$ , so that  $U'_n$  is open,  $F'_n$  is compact,  $U'_n \supseteq A \cap ([-n,-n+1] \cup [n-1,n]) \supseteq F'_n$ and  $\mathcal{L}(U'_n \setminus F'_n) < 3\varepsilon/2^{n+2} < \varepsilon/2^n$ . Now  $U = \bigcup U'_n$  is open, and  $F = \bigcup F'_n$  is closed (Exercise 2.1.42). We have  $U \supseteq A \supseteq F$ , and  $U \setminus F \subseteq \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (U'_n \setminus F'_n)$ , so that  $\mathcal{L}(U \setminus F) \leq U$  $\sum \mathcal{L}(U'_n \setminus F'_n) < \varepsilon.$ 

Conversely, suppose sets U and F exist. By Theorem (5.1.12) they are measurable. First assume  $\mathcal{L}(A) < \infty$ . Then  $\mathcal{L}(F) < \infty$ , and  $\mathcal{L}(U) \leq \mathcal{L}(U \setminus F) + \mathcal{L}(F) < \varepsilon + \mathcal{L}(F) < \infty$ . Now  $\mathcal{L}(A) \leq \mathcal{L}(U) = \mathcal{L}(U) < \mathcal{L}(F) + \varepsilon = \mathcal{L}(F) + \varepsilon \leq \mathcal{L}(A) + \varepsilon$ . This is true for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , so  $\overline{\mathcal{L}}(A) = \mathcal{L}(A)$ , so A is measurable.

**Page 134 Line 10.** Add (Recall that  $\inf \emptyset = \infty$ , so if there is no countable cover at all of B by sets of A, then  $\mathcal{M}(B) = \infty$ .)

**Page 154 Line 16. Replace** h(a) by h(f(a)) and replace h(b) by h(f(b))

**Page 160 Line 18.** After system add on a complete metric space

**Page 161 Line 16.** After (6.3.11) add Let  $f_i$  and K be as in Theorem (4.1.3)

Page 190 Line -10. Replace let by Let

**Page 208 Line 7. Replace** Let by For  $\sigma \in E^{(\omega)}$ , let

**Page 213 Line 20. Replace**  $-\log a / \log 5$  where  $a \approx 0.3992$  is a solution of  $a^4 - a^3 - a^2 + a^3 - a^3 -$ 3a - 1 = 0. The dimension is approximately 0.57058;

**by**  $\log((\sqrt{5}+3)/2)/\log 5 \approx 0.59799;$ 

Changes: from second printing to third printing February 7, 1995 Measure, Topology, and Fractal Geometry by Gerald A. Edgar

**Page 68 Line 10.** Replace The points of F by The points of  $F_1$ Page 83 Line 8. After  $\{U_1, U_2, \dots\}$ .

**add** (If  $\mathcal{B}$  is finite, repeat the basic sets over and over.)

Page 109 Line 4. Replace complex number by complex number, |b| > 1Page 145, after line 3. Add

An example of a set in the line not measurable for Lebesgue measure may be found in many texts. For example: [6, pp. 36–37], [9, Theorem 1.4.7], [29, (10.28)], or [48, Chapter 3, Section 4].

Page 187 Line -14. Replace Theorem 6.5.2 by Theorem 6.2.5

Changes: from first printing to second printing March 28, 1992 Measure, Topology, and Fractal Geometry by Gerald A. Edgar Page ix Line 14. Delete and Page ix Line 15. Add and Kenneth Dreyhaupt **Page 4 Line 23. Replace** 1.6.7 by 1.6.2 Page 17 Line 8. Replace 24 by 2.4Page 29 last display. **Replace**  $(2\pi/3)$  by  $\frac{2\pi}{3}$ Page 29–30. Replace a and b by u and vPage 31 Figure 1.6.8. Missing label  $L_4$ . Page 32 Line -9. Replace is has come by it has come Page 33 Line 2. Replace called by Mandelbrot "Sierpiński's carpet" **by** called Sierpiński's carpet (*dywan Sierpinskiego*) Page 49 Line -10. **Replace**  $\left\{ y \in \mathbb{R}^d : \rho(x, y) = r \right\}$  by  $\left\{ y \in S : \rho(x, y) = r \right\}$ Page 57 Line 4. Replace  $\rightarrow z_1$  by  $= z_1$ **Page 57 Line 7. Replace**  $\rightarrow z_2$  by  $= z_2$ Page 57 Line 9. Replace  $\rightarrow z_i$  by  $= z_i$ Page 65 Line 5. Replace 2.3.15 by 2.3.16 Page 66 Line 19. Replace first paragraph of proof by

PROOF. First, clearly  $D(A, B) \ge 0$  and D(A, B) = D(B, A). Since A and B are compact, they are bounded, so  $D(A, B) < \infty$ .

**Page 66 Line -3.** Add and let A be a nonempty compact subset of S

## Page 67–68. Replace (2.4.4) by

(2.4.4) THEOREM. Suppose S is a complete metric space. Then the space  $\mathcal{K}(S)$  is complete.

**PROOF.** Suppose  $(A_n)$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $\mathcal{K}(S)$ . I must show that  $A_n$  converges. Let

 $A = \{ x : \text{there is a sequence } (x_k) \text{ with } x_k \in A_k \text{ and } x_k \to x \}.$ 

I must show that  $D(A_n, A) \to 0$  and A is nonempty and compact.

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. Then there is  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  so that  $n, m \ge N$  implies  $D(A_n, A_m) < \varepsilon/2$ . Let  $n \ge N$ . I claim that  $D(A_n, A) \le \varepsilon$ .

If  $x \in A$ , then there is a sequence  $(x_k)$  with  $x_k \in A_k$  and  $x_k \to x$ . So, for large enough k, we have  $\rho(x_k, x) < \varepsilon/2$ . Thus, if  $k \ge N$ , then (since  $D(A_k, A_n) < \varepsilon/2$ ) there is  $y \in A_n$  with  $\rho(x_k, y) < \varepsilon/2$ , and we have  $\rho(y, x) \le \rho(y, x_k) + \rho(x_k, x) < \varepsilon$ . This shows that  $A \subseteq N_{\varepsilon}(A_n)$ .

Now suppose  $y \in A_n$ . Choose integers  $k_1 < k_2 < \cdots$  so that  $k_1 = n$  and  $D(A_{k_j}, A_m) < 2^{-j}\varepsilon$  for all  $m \ge k_j$ . Then define a sequence  $(y_k)$  with  $y_k \in A_k$  as follows: For k < n, choose  $y_k \in A_k$  arbitrarily. Choose  $y_n = y$ . If  $y_{k_j}$  has been chosen, and  $k_j < k \le k_{j+1}$ , choose  $y_k \in A_k$  with  $\rho(y_{k_j}, y_k) < 2^{-j}\varepsilon$ . Then  $y_k$  is a Cauchy sequence, so it converges. Let x be its limit. So  $x \in A$ . We have  $\rho(y, x) = \lim_k \rho(y, y_k) < \varepsilon$ . So  $y \in N_{\varepsilon}(A)$ . This shows that  $A_n \subseteq N_{\varepsilon}(A)$ . Note that, taking  $\varepsilon = 1$  in this argument, I have also proved that  $A \neq \emptyset$ . So we have  $D(A, A_n) \le \varepsilon$ . This concludes the proof that  $(A_n)$  converges to A.

Next I show that A is "totally bounded": that is, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is a finite  $\varepsilon$ -net in A. Choose n so that  $D(A_n, A) < \varepsilon/3$ . By (2.2.5), there is a finite  $(\varepsilon/3)$ -net for  $A_n$ , say  $\{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m\}$ . Now for each  $y_i$ , there is  $x_i \in A$  with  $\rho(x_i, y_i) < \varepsilon/3$ . The finite set  $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m\}$  is an  $\varepsilon$ -net for A.

Now I will show that A is a closed subset of S. Let x belong to the closure A of A. Then there exists a sequence  $(y_n)$  in A with  $\rho(x, y_n) < 2^{-n}$ . For each n there is a point  $z_n \in A_n$ with  $\rho(z_n, y_n) < D(A_n, A) + 2^{-n}$ . Now

$$\rho(z_n, x) \le \rho(z_n, y_n) + \rho(y_n, x) < D(A_n, A) + 2^{-n} + 2^{-n}.$$

This converges to 0, so  $z_n \to x$ . Thus  $x \in A$ . This shows that A is closed.

Finally, to show that A is compact, I will show that it is countably compact. Let F be an infinite subset of A. There is a finite (1/2)-net B for A, so each element of F is within distance 1/2 of some element of B. Now F is infinite and B is finite, so there is an element of B within distance 1/2 of infinitely many elements of F. Let  $F_1 \subseteq F$  be that infinite subset. The points of  $F_1$  are all within distance 1 of each other; that is, diam  $F_1 \leq 1$ . In the same way, there is an infinite set  $F_2 \subseteq F_1$  with diam  $F_2 \leq 1/2$ ; and so on. There are infinite sets  $F_j$  with diam  $F_j \leq 2^{-j}$  and  $F_{j+1} \subseteq F_j$  for all j. Now if  $x_j$  is chosen from  $F_j$ , we have  $\rho(x_j, x_k) \leq 2^{-j}$  if j < k, so  $(x_j)$  is a Cauchy sequence. Since S is complete,  $(x_j)$ converges, say  $x_j \to x$ . Since A is closed,  $x \in A$ . But then x is a cluster point of the set F. Therefore A is compact.  $\bigcirc$  Page 74 headline. Replace 25.12 by 2.5.12Page 76 Line -6. **Replace** Then apply 2.2.18 by But A is closed, so any point with distance 0 from A belongs to A. Page 86 Line -15. After empty set. add Note that a space S has ind S < k if and only if a point  $\{x\}$  and a closed set B not containing x can be separated by a set L with ind  $L \leq k-1$ . Indeed, there is a basic open set U with  $x \in U \subseteq S \setminus B$  and  $L = \partial U$  separates  $\{x\}$  and B. **Page 92 Line -1. Replace** (ay + bx) by (ay - bx)Page 93 Line -10. Delete comma **Page 94 Line -12.** Replace  $g_1(x) \ge x_2 \ge -1$  by  $g_1(x) \ge x_1 \ge -1$ Page 98 Line -3. Replace finite paths by of finite paths **Page 102 Line -4.** Replace  $(i = 1, 2, \dots, m)$  by  $(i = 1, 2, \dots, m)$ Page 103 Line -16. Replace Exersise 3.1.7 by Exercise 3.1.8 Page 108 Line -7. Replace a cluster of by a cluster point of Page 109 Line 5. Replace  $\cdots d_k$  by  $\cdots, d_k$ Page 113 Line 7. After similarities. add (See Plate 8.) Page 113 Line -1. After made. add The transformations map the large square and rectangle shown at the top into the same

The transformations map the large square and rectangle shown at the top into the same square and rectangle shown at the bottom.

Page 114 Line 9. Replace  $r: V \to (0, \infty)$  by  $r: E \to (0, \infty)$ Page 117 Line 5. Replace paragraph by

Under the new metrics, what happens to the maps  $f_e$ ? If  $e \in E_{uv}$ , then

$$\rho'_u(f_e(x), f_e(y)) = a_u \rho_u(f_e(x), f_e(y))$$
$$= a_u r(e) \rho_v(x, y)$$
$$= \frac{a_u r(e)}{a_v} \rho'_v(x, y).$$

Thus, with the new metrics, the maps  $f_e$  realize a Mauldin-Williams graph (V, E, i, t, r'), where

$$r'(e) = \frac{a_u}{a_v} r(e)$$
 for  $e \in E_{uv}$ .

The Mauldin-Williams graph (V, E, i, t, r') is called a **rescaling** of the graph (V, E, i, t, r). A Mauldin-Williams graph (V, E, i, t, r) will be called **contracting** iff it is a rescaling of a strictly contracting graph.

Page 126 Line 5. Replace 1.5.2 by 5.1.2Page 127 Line 11.

**Replace** and let  $A \subseteq \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} [a_i, b_j)$  by and let  $A \cup B \subseteq \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} [a_i, b_i)$ 

## Page 129. Replace statement of Theorem (5.1.12) by

Compact subsets, closed subsets, and open subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$  are Lebesgue measurable. Page 129 Line 4. Replace the first paragraph of the proof by

**PROOF.** Let  $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  be compact. It is bounded, so  $K \subseteq [-n, n]$  for some n, and therefore  $\overline{\mathcal{L}}(K) < \infty$ . The compact set K is a subset of K, so  $\mathcal{L}(K) > \overline{\mathcal{L}}(K)$ .

Let  $F \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  be a closed set. Then for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the intersection  $F \cap [-n, n]$  is compact, and therefore measurable. Thus F is measurable.

Page 131 Line 15. Replace  $\overline{\mathcal{L}}(f[U] \setminus f[F]) < r\varepsilon$  by  $\overline{\mathcal{L}}(f[U] \setminus f[F]) = \overline{\mathcal{L}}(f[U \setminus F]) < r\varepsilon$ Page 135 Line 12.

(wrong font) Replace  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ -measurable by  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ -measurable

Page 135 Line -3. Replace period by comma

**Page 140 Line 13. Replace** let  $(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n)$  is a by let  $(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n)$  be a

Page 154 Line 2. Replace  $\varepsilon/2$  by  $\varepsilon$ 

Page 154 Line 10.

- $\begin{array}{lll} \mbox{Replace} & 0 \leq y_1 < z_1 \leq y_2 < z_2 \leq \cdots \leq y_n < z_n \leq 1 \\ \mbox{by} & 0 \leq y_1 \leq z_1 \leq y_2 \leq z_2 \leq \cdots \leq y_n \leq z_n \leq 1 \end{array}$

Page 154 Line 19.

**Replace**  $\rho(u, v)$ , so we have **by**  $\rho(u, v)$ , so h has bounded increase, and we have **Page 154 Line -6. Replace** then the sets  $f[[x_{i-1}, x_i)]$  are measurable and disjoint by then the set  $f[[x_{i-1}, x_i)] = f[[x_{i-1}, x_i]] \setminus \{f(x_i)\}$  is the difference of two compact sets, hence measurable. The sets  $f[[x_{i-1}, x_i)]$  are disjoint

Page 155 Line 3. On Hausdorff dimension vs. topological dimension add a footnote: \*Optional material. (It depends on Section 3.4.)

**Page 156 Line 20.** After system. add Of course, K is a measurable set, since it is compact.

Page 163 Line 9. Replace condition by condition

Page 180. Refer to Tricot as well as Taylor.

Page 186 Line 4. Replace A. N. Besicovitch by A. S. Besicovitch Page 191 Line -2.

**Replace** inside of the fudgeflake **by** filled-in fudgeflake

**Page 192 Line 12. Replace** Exercise 4.3.12 by Figure 4.3.12

Page 224 Line -18. Replace Kurt by Curt

Page 228. Replace Maltese cross xii by Maltese cross xiii Page 228.

**Replace** number systems 28, 34, 59, 83, 34, 109, 131, 165, 178, 204

**by** number systems 28, 34, 59, 83, 109, 131, 165, 177, 204

Page 228. Space between overlap and packing dimension

6