Divisibility of Products

1. First Result

The following result is a weaker version of a standard result involving greatest common divisors. We will prove the stronger result at the end of these notes.

Proposition 1.1. Suppose that a and b are integers which have the property that if $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and d divides a and d divides b then d = 1. Then there are integers x and y such that:

$$ax + by = 1$$

It should be pointed out that it is not the case that a = 0 and b = 0, otherwise every integer divides a and b. **Proof:** Since $a^2 + b^2$ is a positive integer, the set

$$S = \{ax + by \mid x \in \mathbb{Z}, y \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } ax + by > 0\}$$

is non-empty. The well-ordering principle guarantees that there is a least element d in S. Using the division algorithm, we can write

$$a = qd + r$$

where $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $r \in \{0, 1, \dots, d-1\}$. Then

a - r = qd.

Since d = ax + by for some $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $y \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$qd = qax + qby$$

$$a - r = qax + qby$$
$$a - qax - qby = r$$
$$a(1 - qax) + b(-qy) = r$$

Since r < d, and d is a least element of S, the only possible value for r is r = 0. In particular, d divides a. Repeating this same argument with b in place of a shows that d divides b. Therefore, by the hypothesis, d = 1.

Proposition 1.2. Suppose that a and b are integers which have the property that if $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and d divides a and d divides b then d = 1. If c is an integer and a divides bc, then a divides c.

Proof: From the proposition above, there are integers x and y such that ax + by = 1. Then axc + byc = c. So (xc)a = c - (y)bc. In particular, a divides c - (y)bc. Since a divides bc, a must divide c. \Box

corollary 1.3. Suppose that x and y are integers and that p is a prime such that p divides xy. Then p divides x or p divides y.

Proof: If p divides x then the result is true, so we may assume that p does not divide x. Then p and x satisfy the conditions for a and b, respectively of the previous proposition. In particular, p must divide y (y = c in the referenced proposition). \Box

 So

Definition 2.1. Suppose that a and b are integers. We say that the whole number d is a **common divisor** of a and b if d divides a and b. We say that d is the **greatest common divisor** of a and b if

- (1.) d is a common division of a and b and
- (2.) if c is any common divisor of a and b then c divides d.

At this point, there is no guarantee that gcd(a, b) exists for any pair of integers a and b.

Proposition 2.2. Let a and b be integers. Then

- (1.) There exists a whole number d = gcd(a, b).
- (2.) There exists integers x and y such that ax + by = gcd(a, b).

The proof of this theorem is nearly identical to the first proposition in these notes, with the exception that we are working with whole numbers rather than natural numbers.

Proof: Since $a^2 + b^2$ is a non-negative integer, the set

$$S = \{ax + by \mid x \in \mathbb{Z}, y \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } ax + by \ge 0\}$$

is non-empty. The well-ordering principle guarantees that there is a least element d in S. Using the division algorithm, we can write

$$a = qd + r$$

where $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $r \in \{0, 1, \dots, d-1\}$. Then

$$a - r = qd.$$

Since d = ax + by for some $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $y \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$qd = qax + qby$$

 So

$$a - r = qax + qby$$
$$a - qax - qby = r$$
$$a(1 - qax) + b(-qy) = r$$

Since r < d, and d is a least element of S, the only possible value for r is r = 0. In particular, d divides a. Repeating this same argument with b in place of a shows that d divides b. We have now shown that d is a common factor of a and b. Now suppose that c is any integer that divides both a and b. Then c divides ax + by so c divides d. Thus $d = \gcd(a, b) = ax + by$. \Box