# Pointwise convergence of ergodic averages for polynomial sequences of translations on a nilmanifold

A. Leibman

Department of Mathematics The Ohio State University Columbus, OH 43210, USA *e-mail:* leibman@math.ohio-state.edu

January 30, 2004

### Abstract

We show that the orbit of a point on a compact nilmanifold X under the action of a polynomial sequence of translations on X is well distributed on the union of several sub-nilmanifolds of X. This implies that the ergodic averages of a continuous function on X along a polynomial sequence of translations on X converge pointwise.

## 1. Formulations

**1.1.** Let G be a nilpotent Lie group and X be a compact homogeneous space of G, that is,  $X = G/\Gamma$  where  $\Gamma$  is a closed uniform (=cocompact) subgroup of G; we will call X a nilmanifold. G acts on X by left translations: for  $a \in G$  and  $x = b\Gamma \in X$  one defines  $ax = ab\Gamma$ .

**1.2.** Let  $x \in X$  and  $a \in G$ ; it is proved in [Le] that the orbit  $\{a^n x\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  of x under the action of a is uniformly distributed on a sub-nilmanifold of X. A much more general result of this sort was obtained in [R]: if X is a finite volume homogeneous space of a (not necessarily nilpotent) Lie group G and W is a connected subgroup of G generated by one-parameter subgroups whose  $\operatorname{Ad}_G$ -actions are unipotent, then for any  $x \in X$  there exists a closed subgroup  $F \subseteq G$  such that  $Fx = \overline{Wx}$  and Wx is uniformly distributed on Fx. In [Sh2] this theorem is extended to the case where W is not necessarily connected. In [Sh1] an analogous result was obtained for continuous polynomial trajectories  $\{P(u)x\}_{u\in\mathbb{R}^k}$ , with P being a polynomial mapping  $\mathbb{R}^k \longrightarrow G$ . We consider here discrete polynomial trajectories  $\{g(n)x\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$  on nilmanifolds only.

**1.3.** A sequence  $\{g(n)\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$  in G of the form  $g(n) = a_1^{p_1(n)} \dots a_m^{p_m(n)}$ , where  $a_1, \dots, a_m \in G$  and  $p_1, \dots, p_m$  are polynomials taking on integer values on the integers, is called *polynomial*. Polynomial sequences in nilpotent groups arise very naturally, and many classical ergodic-theoretical results remain valid after replacing the sequence of powers  $T^n$  of a (unitary, continuous, measure preserving) transformation T by a polynomial sequence in a nilpotent group of transformations (see [L1], [L2], [BL]).

**1.4.** Our main goal is to establish the following fact:

**Theorem A.** Let g be a polynomial sequence in G. For any  $x \in X$ ,  $f \in C(X)$  and Følner sequence  $\{\Phi_N\}_{N=1}^{\infty}$  in  $\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{|\Phi_N|} \sum_{n \in \Phi_N} f(g(n)x)$  exists.

**1.5.** We will denote by  $\mu$  the *G*-invariant probability measure on *X*. A sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$  of points of *X* is said to be *well distributed* on *X* if for any open subset *U* of *X* the set  $\{n \in \mathbb{Z} : x_n \in U\}$  has density  $\mu(U)$  with respect to any Følner sequence in  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Equivalently,  $\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$  is well distributed on *X* if for any continuous function *f* on *X* and any Følner sequence  $\{\Phi_N\}_{N=1}^{\infty}$  in  $\mathbb{Z}$  one has  $\lim_{N\to\infty} \frac{1}{|\Phi_N|} \sum_{n\in\Phi_N} f(x_n) = \int_X f d\mu$ .

Supported by NSF grant DMS-0070566 and by the A. Sloan Foundation.

**1.6.** A closed subset Y of X of the form Y = Hx, where  $x \in X$  and H is a closed subgroup of G, will be called *a sub-nilmanifold* of X. We will show that the orbit of any point of X under the action of a polynomial sequence of translations on X is well distributed on the union of several sub-nilmanifolds of X:

**Theorem B.** Let g be a polynomial sequence in G and let  $x \in X$ . There exist a connected closed subgroup H of G and points  $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_k \in X$ , not necessarily distinct, such that the sets  $Y_j = Hx_j$ ,  $j = 1, \ldots, k$ , are closed sub-nilmanifolds of X,  $\overline{\operatorname{Orb}(x)} = \overline{\{g(n)x\}}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} = \bigcup_{j=1}^k Y_j$ , the sequence g(n)x,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , cyclically visits the sets  $Y_1, \ldots, Y_k$  and for each  $j = 1, \ldots, k$  the sequence  $\{g(j + nk)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is well distributed on  $Y_j$ .

**1.7. Example.** The following simple example demonstrates that, unlike the linear case, in the polynomial case  $\overline{\operatorname{Orb}(x)}$  need not be a sub-nilmanifold of X: for  $G = \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}$  and  $g(n) = \frac{n^2}{3} \in \mathbb{R}$  one has  $\operatorname{Orb}(0) = \{0, \frac{1}{3}\} \subset \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ .

**1.8.** Regarding Theorem B the following question remains open to us: if  $k \ge 2$ , are the nilmanifolds  $Y_1, \ldots, Y_k$  isomorphic to each other?

**1.9.** If Y is a sub-nilmanifold of X, Y = Hx, let  $\mu_Y$  denote the *H*-invariant probability measure on Y. Using this notation, we get the following corollary of Theorem B:

**Corollary.** For any  $f \in C(X)$  and any Følner sequence  $\{\Phi_N\}_{N=1}^{\infty}$  in  $\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{|\Phi_N|} \sum_{n \in \Phi_N} f(g(n)x) = \sum_{n \in \Phi_N} \frac{1}{|\Phi_N|} \sum_{n \in \Phi_N} \frac{1}{|$ 

 $\frac{1}{k}\sum_{j=1}^k \int_{Y_j} f \, d\mu_{Y_j}.$ 

In particular, Theorem A follows.

**1.10.** Assume that X is connected, and let  $G^o$  be the identity component of G. Then X is a homogeneous space of  $G^o$ ,  $X = G^o/(\Gamma \cap G^o)$ . Let  $T = [G^o, G^o] \setminus X = G^o/((\Gamma \cap G^o)[G^o, G^o])$ . T is a compact connected abelian Lie group, that is, a torus; we will refer to it as to the maximal factor-torus of X. Let  $p: X \longrightarrow T$  be the factorization mapping. In this situation we obtain a simple criterion of "ergodicity" of a polynomial sequence of translations of X (cf. [P1] and [P2]):

**Theorem C.** Assume that X is connected, let  $x \in X$  and let g be a polynomial sequence in G. The following are equivalent:

(i) the sequence  $\{g(n)x\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$  is dense in X;

(ii)  $\{g(n)x\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$  is well distributed on X;

(iii) the sequence  $\{g(n)p(x)\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$  is dense/well distributed on T.

**1.11.** Let G be a nilpotent Lie group with a uniform subgroup  $\Gamma$  and let the discrete group  $G/G^o$  be finitely generated. Then one can show that G is a factor,  $\eta: \widetilde{G} \longrightarrow G$ , of a simply-connected nilpotent Lie group  $\widetilde{G}$ . Let  $\widetilde{\Gamma} = \eta^{-1}(\Gamma)$ . Further, there exists a connected simply-connected nilpotent Lie group  $\widehat{G}$  with a uniform subgroup  $\widehat{\Gamma}$  such that  $\widetilde{G} \subseteq \widehat{G}$  and  $\widetilde{\Gamma} = \widehat{\Gamma} \cap \widetilde{G}$ . So,  $X = G/\Gamma$  is isomorphic to a sub-nilmanifold of  $\widehat{X} = \widehat{G}/\widehat{\Gamma}$ , with all translations from G represented in  $\widehat{G}$ . It follows that when proving Theorem B, one may restrict himself to the case of a connected simply-connected G. We will not utilize this fact.

**1.12.** We first prove analogs of Theorems B and C in the "linear" case, where g is not a polynomial sequence but a group homomorphism from a finitely generated amenable group. These results are a very special case of general theorems of Ratner and Shah ([R], [Sh2]), but using a method of Parry ([P1] and [P2]) we can obtain a simple and independent proof thereof. Then we exploit Furstenberg's idea ([F], p. 31) to represent a "polynomial" orbit of a point on a nilmanifold as a projection of the "linear" orbit of a point on a "larger" nilmanifold.

## 2. Linear case

We suppose that G is a nilpotent Lie group,  $\Gamma$  is a closed uniform subgroup of G and  $X = G/\Gamma$  is a compact nilmanifold.

**2.1.** We will denote by  $G^o$  the identity component of G. If X is connected, then  $X = (G^o\Gamma)/\Gamma$  and  $G = G^o\Gamma$ . If X is disconnected then  $X^o = (G^o\Gamma)/\Gamma \simeq G^o/(\Gamma \cap G^o)$  is a connected component of X and, since X is compact, X is a disjoint union of finitely many translates of  $X^o$ :  $X = \bigcup_{j=1}^l b_j X^o$ ,  $b_1, \ldots, b_l \in G$ . Thus, X is a homogeneous space of the group generated by  $G^o$  and  $b_1, \ldots, b_l$ . When we study the action on X of a finitely generated subgroup A of G, we may replace G by the group generated by  $G^o$ ,  $b_1, \ldots, b_l$  and the generators of A. Therefore, we may and will assume that the group  $G/G^o$  is finitely generated.

**2.2.** Let  $\pi$  be the factorization mapping  $G \longrightarrow X = G/\Gamma$  and let  $x = \pi(\mathbf{1}_G) \in X$ . Let H be a closed subgroup of G. In general, the image of H in X,  $\pi(H) = Hx = (H\Gamma)/\Gamma$ , need not be a submanifold of X. H acts on Hx with  $\operatorname{Stab}(x) = \Gamma \cap H$ , so one has a continuous bijection  $\xi: H/(\Gamma \cap H) \longrightarrow Hx$ . If  $\Gamma \cap H$  is uniform in H then  $H/(\Gamma \cap H)$  is compact, so  $\xi$  is a homeomorphism and Hx is a homogeneous space of H. On the other hand, H is locally compact and separable, so when Hx is locally compact  $\xi$  is a homeomorphism ([MZ] Theorem 2.13). Thus, if Hx is closed, that is, if  $H\Gamma$  is closed in G, then  $\xi$  is again a homeomorphism. It follows that the statements "Hx is a closed sub-nilmanifold of X", " $H\Gamma$  is closed in G" and " $\Gamma \cap H$  is uniform in H" are equivalent.

**2.3.** We will now list some properties of nilpotent Lie groups which we are going to use in the sequel. Most of this can be found in, or deduced from, [M].

**2.4.** Any connected nilpotent Lie group G is exponential, that is, the exponential mapping  $\mathfrak{G} \longrightarrow G$  from the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{G}$  of G is surjective. It follows that for any  $a \in G$  there exists a one-parameter subgroup  $\{\alpha(t)\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$  in G such that  $\alpha(1) = a$ . We will write  $a^t$  for  $\alpha(t)$ , assuming that  $\alpha$  is fixed for a.

**2.5.** Let G be a connected simply-connected nilpotent Lie group and  $\Gamma$  be a closed uniform subgroup of G. Then G possesses a Malcev basis, a finite set  $\{a_1, \ldots, a_l\} \subseteq \Gamma$  such that any  $a \in G$  is uniquely representable in the form  $a = a_1^{t_1} \ldots a_l^{t_l}, t_1, \ldots, t_l \in \mathbb{R}$ .

The correspondence  $a \mapsto (t_1, \ldots, t_l)$  produces a homeomorphism  $G \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^l$ . Under this homeomorphism the multiplication in G is given by a polynomial mapping  $\mathbb{R}^l \times \mathbb{R}^l \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^l$ . It follows that any polynomial sequence g in G can be written in the basis  $\{a_1, \ldots, a_l\}$ :  $g(n) = a_1^{p_1(n)} \ldots a_l^{p_l(n)}, p_1, \ldots, p_l \in \mathbb{R}[n]$ .

**2.6.** Any connected nilpotent Lie group G is a factor group of a connected simply-connected nilpotent Lie group  $\tilde{G}$ . (One can take as  $\tilde{G}$  the universal cover of G.) Choose a Malcev basis in  $\tilde{G}$  and let  $\{a_1, \ldots, a_l\}$  be the projection of this basis to G. Then any  $a \in G$  is representable (not necessarily uniquely) in the form  $a = a_1^{t_1} \ldots a_l^{t_l}, t_1, \ldots, t_l \in \mathbb{R}$ .

If G is not connected, then the finitely generated group  $G/G^o$  also has a basis, that is, a subset  $\{e_1, \ldots, e_m\} \subseteq G$  such that every element of  $G/G^o$  is representable in the form  $e_1^{n_1} \ldots e_m^{n_m} G^o$ ,  $n_1, \ldots, n_m \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Every element of G is then representable in the form  $a_1^{t_1} \ldots a_l^{t_l} e_1^{n_1} \ldots e_m^{n_m}$ ,  $t_1, \ldots, t_l \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $n_1, \ldots, n_m \in \mathbb{Z}$ . In the coordinates  $(t_1, \ldots, t_l, n_1, \ldots, n_m)$  the multiplication in G is given by ordinary polynomials; it follows that any polynomial sequence in G can be written as  $g(n) = a_1^{p_1(n)} \ldots a_l^{p_l(n)} e_1^{q_1(n)} \ldots e_m^{q_m(n)}$ , where  $p_1, \ldots, p_l$  are polynomials  $\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $q_1, \ldots, q_m$  are polynomials  $\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ .

**2.7.** If  $\Gamma$  is a uniform subgroup of G then, in the notation of 2.6,  $a_1, \ldots, a_l$  can be taken from  $\Gamma$ . If  $G = G^o \Gamma$  then  $e_1, \ldots, e_m$  can also be chosen from  $\Gamma$ . Otherwise  $G^o \Gamma$  has finite index in G and so, there exists  $d \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $b^d \in G^o \Gamma$  for any  $b \in G$ .

**Lemma.** For any  $b \in G$  there exists  $c \in G^o$  such that  $(bc)^d \in \Gamma$ .

**Proof.** Let  $G = G_1 \supset G_2 \supset \ldots \supset G_r \supset G_{r+1} = \{\mathbf{1}_G\}$  be the lower central series of G, and let  $G_i^o$  be the identity component of  $G_i$ ,  $i = 1, \ldots, r$ . Assume that  $b^d = c\gamma$  with  $c \in G_i^o$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . Then  $(bc^{-1/d})^d = c'\gamma$  with  $c' \in G_{i+1}^o$ . By the (descending) induction on i, we are done.

Now let  $\{e_1, \ldots, e_m\} \subseteq G$  be a basis of  $G/G^o$ . After replacing each  $e_j$  by  $e_jc_j$  with an appropriate  $c_j \in G^o$  we will have  $e_j^d \in \Gamma$ ,  $j = 1, \ldots, m$ .

**2.8.** Assume that  $\Gamma$  is not discrete and let  $\Gamma^o$  be the identity component of  $\Gamma$ . Then  $\Gamma^o$  is a normal subgroup of G. This fact is proved in [M] only in the case of connected G, but the argument works in the general case as well, and we will repeat it now. Let  $\mathfrak{G}$  be the Lie algebra of G and let  $\operatorname{Ad}: G \longrightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(\mathfrak{G}), a \mapsto \operatorname{Ad}_a$ , be the adjoint representation of G. Let  $a \in G$ . Since  $\operatorname{Ad}_a$  is unipotent, in a proper basis in  $\mathfrak{G}$  the matrix representing  $\operatorname{Ad}_a$  is upper triangular with unit diagonal. It follows that (in any basis) the entries of the matrix representing  $\operatorname{Ad}_{a^t}, t \in \mathbb{R}$ , (or  $\operatorname{Ad}_{a^n}, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , if  $a \notin G^o$ ) are polynomials in t (respectively, in n). Let  $\mathfrak{L} \subseteq \mathfrak{G}$  be the tangent space of  $\Gamma^o$ . Then  $a^{-t}\Gamma^o a^t = \Gamma^o$  iff  $\operatorname{Ad}_{a^t}(\mathfrak{L}) = \mathfrak{L}$ ; this is a linear condition on the entries of  $\operatorname{Ad}_{a^t}$  and so, a polynomial condition  $P_a(t) = 0$  on t. Now, choose a basis  $a_1, \ldots, a_l, e_1, \ldots, e_m$  in Gwith  $a_1, \ldots, a_l, e_1^d, \ldots, e_m^d \in \Gamma$ . Then for each  $a_i, P_{a_i}(t) = 0$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ , hence,  $P_{a_i} \equiv 0$  and  $a^{-t}\Gamma^o a^t = \Gamma^o$ for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . Similarly, for each  $e_j, P_{e_j}(n) = 0$  for all  $n \in d\mathbb{Z}$ , so  $P_{e_j} \equiv 0$  and  $e^{-n}\Gamma^o e^n = \Gamma^o$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Since  $a_1, \ldots, a_l, e_1, \ldots, e_m$  generate  $G, \Gamma^o$  is normal in G.

After replacing G by  $G/\Gamma^o$  and  $\Gamma$  by  $\Gamma/\Gamma^o$  we arrive at the situation where  $\Gamma$  is discrete. We thus may and will assume that  $\Gamma$  is a *discrete* uniform subgroup of G.

**2.9.** [a, b] will stand for  $a^{-1}b^{-1}ab$ . We will denote by  $G_i$  the members of the lower central series of G,  $G_1 = G$ ,  $G_2 = [G, G]$  and  $G_i = [G_{i-1}, G]$ ,  $i = 3, \ldots, r$ , where r is the nilpotency class of G. For each  $i = 1, \ldots, r$ , let  $G_i^o$  be the identity component of  $G_i$ . Note that  $(G^o)_i \subseteq G_i^o$  and that this inclusion may be strict: for  $G = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1n & y \\ 0 & 1 & x \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} : n \in \mathbb{Z}, x, y \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$  one has  $G^o = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 10 & y \\ 0 & 1 & x \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} : x, y \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$  and  $(G^o)_2 = \{\mathbf{1}_G\}$ , whereas  $G_2^o = G_2 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 10 & y \\ 0 & 1 & x \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} : y \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$ .

**2.10.** Given  $S \subseteq G$ , by  $\langle S \rangle$  we will denote the subgroup of G generated by S. Let  $S \subseteq G$  be any set such that  $G = \langle G^o, S \rangle$ . Then  $G_i$  is generated by elements of the form  $b = [\dots [[b_1, b_2], b_3], \dots, b_i]$  with  $b_1, \dots, b_i \in G^o \cup S$ . If at least one of  $b_1, \dots, b_i$  belongs to  $G^o$ , then  $b \in G_i^o$ . If all  $b_1, \dots, b_i \in S$ , then  $b \in R_i := \langle S \rangle \cap G_i$ . Hence,

Lemma.  $G_i = \langle G_i^o, R_i \rangle$ .

**2.11.** For each i = 1, ..., r,  $G_i$  and  $G_i \Gamma$  are closed subgroups of G and  $(G_i \Gamma) / \Gamma$  is a closed submanifold of X. This fact is well known in the case where G is connected and simply-connected ([M]); here is the sketch of the proof in the general case.

Define  $\Gamma_i = \Gamma \cap G_i$ , i = 1, ..., r. Fix *i*. We have a continuous mapping  $G_i/\Gamma_i \longrightarrow (G_i\Gamma)/\Gamma$ . If  $G_i/\Gamma_i$  is compact, then  $(G_i\Gamma)/\Gamma \simeq G_i/\Gamma_i$  is a closed submanifold of *X*, and so,  $G_i\Gamma$  is a closed subgroup of *G*. In this case  $G_i\Gamma$  is locally compact and since  $\Gamma$  is countable,  $G_i$  is closed in  $G_i\Gamma$  and therefore in *G*. Hence, we are done if we show that there exists a compact subset  $K_i$  in  $G_i$  such that  $G_i = K_i\Gamma_i$ . Following 2.6 and 2.7 above, choose a basis  $B = \{a_1, \ldots, a_l, e_1, \ldots, e_m\}$  in *G* with  $a_1, \ldots, a_l, e_1^d, \ldots, e_m^d \in \Gamma$ .  $G_i/G_{i+1}$  is an abelian group generated by finitely many continuous and/or discrete generators of the form  $b = [\ldots [[b_1, b_2], b_3], \ldots, b_i]$ with  $b_1, \ldots, b_i \in B$ . For any such  $b, b^{d^i} \in \Gamma_i G_{i+1}$ , thus  $G_i = K_i'\Gamma_i G_{i+1} = K_i'G_{i+1}\Gamma_i$ , where  $K_i'$  is the image of a "cube"  $[0, d^i]^n \times \{0, \ldots, d^i\}^k$  in  $G_i/G_{i+1}$ . By (the descending) induction on  $i, G_{i+1} = K_{i+1}\Gamma_{i+1}$  with compact  $K_{i+1}$ , so  $G_i = K_i'K_{i+1}\Gamma_i$ .

**2.12.** We define  $X_i = G_i \setminus X = G/(G_i\Gamma)$ . Then X decomposes into a tower  $X = X_{r+1} \longrightarrow X_r \longrightarrow \ldots \longrightarrow X_2 \longrightarrow X_1 = \{\cdot\}$  of compact nilmanifolds. In particular,  $X_2$  is a compact abelian Lie group, that is, a finite dimensional torus or a union of several tori. For each *i*, the fibers of the projection  $X_{i+1} \longrightarrow X_i$  are isomorphic to the compact abelian Lie group  $G_i/(G_{i+1}\Gamma_i)$ .

**2.13. Example.** Let  $G = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & n & y \\ 0 & 1 & x \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} : n \in \mathbb{Z}, x, y \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$  and  $\Gamma = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & n & k \\ 0 & 1 & m \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} : n, m, k \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$ . Then r = 2, X is the 2-dimensional torus  $\{(x, y), x, y \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}\}, G_2 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & y \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} : y \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$  and  $X_2$  is the 1-dimensional torus  $\{(x), x \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}\}$ .

2.14. Theorem. (Cf. [AGH], Ch.4, Theorem 3.) The action of G on X is distal.

**Proof.**  $X \to X_r \to \ldots \to X_2$  is a tower of isometric extensions, which implies the result. In more detail, let  $x, y \in X, x \neq y$ , and let  $i \leq r$  be such that the images of x and y in  $X_{i+1}$  are distinct whereas their images in  $X_i$  coincide. Let us factor G by  $G_{i+1}$  and replace X by  $X_{i+1}$ , then  $G_i$  is in the center of G. We have y = cx with  $c \in G_i$ . Let dist $(\cdot, \cdot)$  be a distance on X. Since X is compact, dist $(z, cz) > \delta > 0$  for all  $z \in X$ . So, dist $(ax, ay) = dist(ax, acx) = dist(ax, cax) > \delta$  for all  $a \in G$ .

**2.15.** We now fix a finitely generated amenable group A and a homomorphism  $\varphi: A \longrightarrow G$ . A acts on X by translations:  $(\varphi(u))(x) = \varphi(u)x, u \in A, x \in X$ .

**2.16.** Since the action of A on X is distal, we have:

**Corollary.** (See, for example, [F], Corollary on page 160.) X decomposes into the union of disjoint closed subsets,  $X = \bigcup Y_{\theta}$ , which are invariant and minimal with respect to the action of A, that is, for any  $\theta$  and any  $x \in Y_{\theta}$ ,  $\overline{\varphi(A)x} = Y_{\theta}$ .

**2.17.** By  $\mu$  we denote the *G*-invariant probability measure on *X*.

**Theorem.** Let  $N = \langle G^o, \varphi(A) \rangle$ . The action of A is ergodic on X (with respect to  $\mu$ ) iff it is ergodic on  $Z = [N, N] \setminus X$ .

**Proof.** We may assume that G = N, then  $Z = X_2$ . We follow the line of the proof of Theorem 3 in [P2]. We use induction on r, the nilpotency class of G; for r = 1 the statement is trivial. Assume that the action of A is ergodic on  $X_2$  and assume that  $f \in L^2(X)$  is A-invariant,  $\varphi(u)f = f$  for any  $u \in A$ . The compact abelian group  $D = G_r/\Gamma_r$  acts on X and this action commutes with the action of G. Therefore  $L^2(X)$ decomposes into a direct sum of A-invariant eigenspaces of D. We may assume that f belongs to one of these eigenspaces, that is, that  $cf = \lambda(c)f$ ,  $\lambda(c) \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $|\lambda(c)| = 1$ , for all  $c \in G_r$ . Also, we may assume that  $|f| \equiv 1$ .

We have  $c(af) = \lambda(c)(af)$  for any  $a \in G$  and  $c \in G_r$ , and  $\varphi(u)(bf) = \lambda([\varphi(u), b])(bf)$  for any  $b \in G_{r-1}$ and  $u \in A$ . Hence, for any  $b \in G_{r-1}$  the function  $(bf)f^{-1}$  factors through  $X_r = G_r \setminus X$  and is an eigenfunction for A. Let E be the group of eigenfunctions of A on  $X_r$  under multiplication, and let C be the subgroup of constants in E. By induction on r, the action of A is ergodic on  $X_r = G_r \setminus X$ . Hence, the eigenspaces of A in  $L^2(X_r)$  are one-dimensional, and so, E/C is discrete. We have a continuous mapping  $\lambda: G_{r-1} \longrightarrow E$ ,  $b \mapsto (bf)f^{-1}$ . By the connectedness argument,  $\lambda(G_{r-1}^o) \subseteq C$ . Put  $\lambda(a) = 1$  for all  $a \in \varphi(A)$ . Since  $G = \langle G^o, \varphi(A) \rangle$ , Lemma 2.10 implies that  $G_{r-1} \subseteq \langle G_{r-1}^o, \varphi(A) \rangle$ , and hence  $\lambda(G_{r-1}) \subseteq C$ .

It follows that f is  $G_r$ -invariant. Indeed,  $G_r$  is generated by  $[G_{r-1}, G^o]$  and  $[G_{r-1}, \varphi(A)]$ . On  $[G_{r-1}, \varphi(A)]$ ,  $\lambda$  is identically 1. Extend  $\lambda$  to a mapping  $G \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by  $\lambda(a) = \int_X (af) f^{-1} d\mu$ ,  $a \in G$ . For any  $b \in G_{r-1}$ and  $a \in G^o$  we have  $\lambda(ab) = \int_X (abf) f^{-1} d\mu = \lambda(b) \int_X (af) f^{-1} d\mu = \lambda(b) \lambda(a)$  and  $\lambda(ba) = \int_X (baf) f^{-1} d\mu = \int_X (af) (b^{-1} f^{-1}) d\mu = \lambda(b) \int_X (af) f^{-1} d\mu = \lambda(b) \lambda(a) = \lambda(ab)$ . On the other hand,  $\lambda(ba) = \lambda(ab) \lambda([b, a])$ . Since  $\lambda$  is continuous, there exists a neighborhood V of  $\mathbf{1}_G \in G^o$  such that for any  $a \in V$  one has  $\lambda(a) \neq 0$ and so,  $\lambda([b, a]) = 1$ . Since  $G^o$  is exponential, for any  $d \in G^o$  there exist  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $a \in V$  such that  $a^m = d$ , and so,  $\lambda([b, d]) = \lambda([b, a^m]) = \lambda([b, a]^m) = \lambda([b, a])^m = 1$ . We obtain that  $\lambda_{|G_r} \equiv 1$ . Hence, f factors through  $X_r$  and by induction on r, f = const.

**2.18.** Assume that X is connected and consider  $T = [G^o, G^o] \setminus X$ , the maximal factor-torus of X. Since Z is a factor of T, we have

**Corollary.** If X is connected, then the action of A is ergodic on X iff it is ergodic on T.

**2.19. Theorem.** (Cf. [P1].) If the action of A is ergodic on X then the action of A is uniquely ergodic on X. Hence,  $\{\varphi_u x\}_{u \in A}$  is well distributed on X for any  $x \in X$ .

**Proof.** We argue as in [F], proof of Proposition 3.10. A point  $x \in X$  is said to be generic for  $\mu$  (with respect to  $\varphi$ ) if  $\{\varphi(u)x\}_{u\in\mathcal{A}}$  is well distributed on X with respect to  $\mu$ , that is, for any  $f \in C(X)$  and any Følner sequence  $\{\Phi_N\}_{N=1}^{\infty}$ ,  $\lim_{N\to\infty} \frac{1}{|\Phi_N|} \sum_{u\in\Phi_N} f(\varphi(u)x) = \int_X f d\mu$ . Let  $P \subseteq X$  be the set of points generic for  $\mu$ ; since

the action of A is ergodic,  $\mu(P) = 1$ . Let  $\pi_r$  be the projection  $X \longrightarrow X/G_r = X_r$  and let  $Q = \pi_r(P)$ . Since the elements of  $G_r$  commute with  $\varphi(A)$  and preserve  $\mu$ , if  $x \in X$  is generic for  $\mu$ , then cx is also generic for  $\mu$  for any  $c \in G_r$ . So,  $G_r P = P$  and so,  $P = \pi_r^{-1}(Q)$ . Let  $\mu'$  be another measure on X ergodic with respect to the action of A. By induction on r, the action of A is uniquely ergodic on  $X_r$ , and so, the projections of  $\mu$  and  $\mu'$  onto  $X_r$  coincide. It follows that  $\mu'(P) = \mu'(Q) = \mu(Q) = 1$ . That is, almost all (with respect to  $\mu'$ ) points of X are not generic for  $\mu'$ . This contradicts the ergodicity of  $\mu'$ .

**2.20. Corollary.** (Cf. [P1].) Let  $N = \langle G^o, \varphi(A) \rangle$  and  $Z = [N, N] \setminus X$ . The action of A is ergodic on X iff X is minimal with respect to the action of A, and iff Z is minimal with respect to the action of A.

**Proof.** If X is minimal with respect to the action of A, then Z is also minimal. If Z is minimal, then, since Z is a compact abelian group, the action of A is ergodic on Z, and by Theorem 2.17 the action of A is ergodic on X.

Now assume that X is not minimal; then by Theorem 2.14 we have a nontrivial decomposition of X into closed A-invariant subsets. It follows that the action of A is not uniquely ergodic on X and by Theorem 2.19, is not ergodic.

**2.21.** Theorem. (Cf. [Sh2], Theorem 1.3.) For any  $x \in X$  there exists a closed subgroup  $E \subseteq G$  such that  $\overline{\varphi(A)x} = Ex$ . Consequently,  $Y = \overline{\varphi(A)x}$  is a nilmanifold, and  $\{\varphi(u)x\}_{u \in A}$  is well distributed on Y.

**Proof.** Let  $\pi: G \longrightarrow X$  be the factorization mapping; we may assume that  $x = \pi(\mathbf{1}_G)$ . After passing to a subgroup of finite index in A we may assume that  $\varphi(A)$  preserves the connected component  $X^o$  of x in X. We may therefore assume that X is connected.

Let  $N = \langle G^{\circ}, \varphi(A) \rangle$ ,  $Z = [N, N] \setminus X$  and  $p: X \longrightarrow Z$  be the factorization mapping. If  $\overline{\varphi(A)x} \neq X$ , then by Corollary 2.20,  $\varphi(A)0 = p(\varphi(A)x)$  is not dense in the torus Z. Hence it is contained in a proper closed subtorus  $Z' \subset Z$ . The projection  $p \circ \pi: G \longrightarrow Z$  is a homomorphism, thus  $G' = (\pi_2 \circ \pi)^{-1}(Z')$  is a closed subgroup of G with dim  $G' < \dim G$  and  $\varphi(A) \subseteq G'$ . Induction on dim G proves the first statement.

We obtain that  $Y \simeq E/(\Gamma \cap E)$  is a nilmanifold; the last statement of the theorem now follows from Corollary 2.20 and Theorem 2.19.

**2.22. Remark.** The group E in Theorem 2.21 is not uniquely determined, and does not have to contain  $\varphi(A)$ . However, among the groups E satisfying the conclusion of the theorem there is a maximal one,  $E = \{a \in G : a(\overline{\varphi(A)x}) = \overline{\varphi(A)x}\}$ , and for this E one has  $\varphi(A) \subseteq E$ .

### 3. Reduction of the polynomial case to the linear case

We start with some group theoretical preliminaries.

**3.1.** Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the free group generated by continuous generators  $a_1, \ldots, a_l$  and discrete generators  $e_1, \ldots, e_m$ , that is, the group of words in the alphabet  $\{a_1^{t_1}, \ldots, a_l^{t_l}, e_1^{n_1}, \ldots, e_m^{n_m}\}_{\substack{t_i \in \mathbb{R} \\ n_j \in \mathbb{Z}}}$ . Let  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_1 \supset \mathcal{F}_2 \supset \ldots$  be the

lower central series of  $\mathcal{F}: \mathcal{F}_{i+1} = [\mathcal{F}_i, \mathcal{F}], i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ ; we will call the nilpotent Lie group  $F = \mathcal{F}/\mathcal{F}_{r+1}$ the free nilpotent Lie group (of class r, with l continuous and m discrete generators). The discrete subgroup of F generated by the set  $\{a_1, \ldots, a_l, e_1, \ldots, e_m\}$  is uniform in F; we will denote it by  $\Gamma(F)$ .

**3.2. Proposition.** Let G be a nilpotent Lie group of class  $\leq r$ , let G<sup>o</sup> be the identity component of G, and let F be a free nilpotent Lie group of class r with continuous generators  $a_1, \ldots, a_l$  and discrete generators  $e_1, \ldots, e_m$ . Any mapping  $\eta: \{a_1, \ldots, a_l, e_1, \ldots, e_m\} \longrightarrow G$  with  $\eta(\{a_1, \ldots, a_l\}) \subseteq G^o$  extends to a homomorphism  $F \longrightarrow G$ .

**Proof.** The connected nilpotent Lie group  $G^o$  is exponential, and so, for any  $i = 1, \ldots, l$  there exists a one-parameter subgroup  $\{\alpha_i(t)\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$  in G such that  $\eta(a_i) = \alpha_i(1)$ . Thus,  $\eta$  extends to a homomorphism  $\eta: \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow G$  from the free group  $\mathcal{F}$  generated by  $\{a_1^{t_1}, \ldots, a_l^{t_l}, e_1, \ldots, e_m\}_{t_i \in \mathbb{R}}$  so that  $\eta(a_i^t) = \alpha_i(t), t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $i = 1, \ldots, l$ . Since  $\eta(\mathcal{F}_{r+1}) \subseteq G_{r+1} = \{\mathbf{1}_G\}, \eta$  factors to a homomorphism  $F \longrightarrow G$ .

**3.3.** Let us say that a Lie group G is *finitely generated* if G is generated by a set of the form  $\{a_1^{t_1}, \ldots, a_l^{t_l}, e_1, \ldots, e_m\}_{t_i \in \mathbb{R}}$ . (If  $G^o$  is the identity component of G, then G is finitely generated iff the discrete group  $G/G^o$  is finitely generated in the conventional sense.)

**Proposition.** Let G be a finitely generated nilpotent Lie group. Then G is a factor of a finitely generated free nilpotent Lie group.

**Proof.** Let G have nilpotency class  $r, a_1, \ldots, a_l \in G^o$  be the continuous and  $e_1, \ldots, e_m \in G$  the discrete generators of G. Let F be the free nilpotent Lie group of class r with continuous generators  $a_1, \ldots, a_l$  and discrete generators  $e_1, \ldots, e_m$ . By Proposition 3.2, there exists a homomorphism  $\eta: F \longrightarrow G$  which is identical on  $a_1, \ldots, a_l, e_1, \ldots, e_m$ . Clearly,  $\eta$  is surjective.

**3.4. Lemma.** Let G be a nilpotent group,  $G_2 = [G, G]$ , and let H be a subgroup of G such that  $HG_2 = G$ . Then H = G.

**Proof.** Let  $G = G_1 \supset G_2 \supset \ldots \supset G_r \supset G_{r+1} = \{\mathbf{1}_G\}$  be the lower central series of G. By induction on r,  $HG_r = G$ , and it is only to be checked that  $G_r \subseteq H$ .  $G_r$  is generated by elements of the form [b, a] with  $a \in G$  and  $b \in G_{r-1}$ . Let  $c \in H$  be such that  $cG_2 = aG_2$  and  $d \in H \cap G_{r-1}$  be such that  $dG_r = bG_r$ . Then  $[d, c] \in H$  and [d, c] = [b, a].

**3.5. Proposition.** Let F be a free nilpotent Lie group, let  $F_2 = [F, F]$  and let a self-homomorphism  $\tau$  of F be such that the induced self-homomorphism of  $F/F_2$  is invertible. Then  $\tau$  is also invertible.

**Proof.** Since  $\tau(F)F_2 = F$ ,  $\tau(F) = F$  by Lemma 3.4. It follows from Proposition 3.2 that there exists a homomorphism  $\sigma: F \longrightarrow F$  such that  $\tau \circ \sigma = \mathrm{Id}_F$ . Since  $\sigma$  induces an automorphism of  $F/F_2$ ,  $\sigma$  is also surjective. Hence,  $\sigma = \tau^{-1}$ .

**3.6. Remark.** Actually, Proposition 3.5 holds for any simply-connected finitely generated nilpotent Lie group; we do not need this in such generality.

**3.7.** We say that an automorphism  $\tau$  of a group G is *unipotent* if the mapping  $\xi: G \longrightarrow G$  defined by  $\xi(a) = \tau(a)a^{-1}, a \in G$ , satisfies  $\xi^{\circ q} \equiv \mathbf{1}_G$  for  $q \in \mathbb{N}$  large enough.

**3.8. Proposition.** Let  $\tau$  be an automorphism of a nilpotent group G and let  $G_2 = [G, G]$ . Then  $\tau$  is unipotent iff the automorphism induced by  $\tau$  on  $G/G_2$  is unipotent.

**Proof.** Let  $G = G_1 \supset G_2 \supset \ldots \supset G_r \supset G_{r+1} = \{\mathbf{1}_G\}$  be the lower central series of G. By induction on the nilpotency class r of G, assume that  $\tau$  is unipotent on  $G/G_r$ , that is,  $\xi^{\circ q}(G) \subseteq G_r$  for q large enough. We only have to check that  $\tau$  is unipotent on  $G_r$ . Let  $A_i = \xi^{\circ i}(G)$ ,  $B_i = A_i \cap G_{r-1}$ ,  $i = 0, \ldots, q-1$ , and let  $C_k = \langle [B_j, A_i], j+i \ge k \rangle$ ,  $k = 0, \ldots, 2q-1$ . We claim that  $\xi(C_k) \subseteq C_{k+1}$ ,  $k = 0, \ldots, 2q-2$ , and so,  $\xi^{\circ (2q-1)}(G_r) = \xi^{\circ (2q-1)}(C_0) \subseteq C_{2q-1} = \{\mathbf{1}_G\}$ . Indeed, the mapping  $G_{r-1} \times G \longrightarrow G_r$ ,  $(b, a) \mapsto [b, a]$ , is bilinear; since  $\tau$  and  $\xi$  commute,  $\tau(A_i) = A_i$  for all i; so, if  $b \in B_j$  and  $a \in A_i$ , then

$$\begin{split} \xi([b,a]) &= \tau([b,a]) \cdot [b,a]^{-1} = \left[\tau(b),\tau(a)\right] \cdot \left[b,\tau(a)\right]^{-1} \cdot \left[b,\tau(a)\right] \cdot \left[b,a\right]^{-1} \\ &= \left[\tau(b)b^{-1},\tau(a)\right] \cdot \left[b,\tau(a)a^{-1}\right] = \left[\xi(b),\tau(a)\right] \cdot \left[b,\xi(a)\right] \\ &\in \left[B_{j+1},\tau(A_i)\right] \cdot \left[B_j,A_{i+1}\right] = \left[B_{j+1},A_i\right] \cdot \left[B_j,A_{i+1}\right] \subseteq C_{j+i+1}. \end{split}$$

**3.9. Proposition.** Let G be a finitely generated nilpotent Lie group and let  $\tau$  be a unipotent automorphism of G. Then the extension  $\hat{G}$  of G by  $\tau$  is a nilpotent Lie group.

**Proof.**  $\widehat{G}$  is a solvable Lie group  $(G \triangleleft \widehat{G} \text{ and } \widehat{G}/G \simeq \mathbb{Z})$ ; it therefore suffices to show that  $\widehat{G}$  is generated by Engel elements. (An element *a* of a group *H* is said to be *Engel* if for any  $b \in H$ ,  $[\dots [[b, a], a], \dots] = \mathbf{1}_G$  if the number of brackets is large enough. Engel elements in a finitely generated solvable Lie group form a nilpotent subgroup.)  $\widehat{G}$  is generated by *G* and the element  $\hat{\tau}$  representing  $\tau$ ;  $\hat{\tau}$  is Engel since  $\tau$  is a unipotent automorphism of *G*, and each  $b \in G$  is Engel since *G* is nilpotent and normal in  $\widehat{G}$ .

**3.10.** Starting from this point, let, again, G be a nilpotent Lie group,  $G^o$  be the identity component of G,  $\Gamma$  be a discrete uniform subgroup of G and  $X = G/\Gamma$ . Any polynomial sequence  $g(n) = a_1^{p_1(n)} \dots a_m^{p_m(n)}$  in G is contained in the group of G generated by the finite set  $\{a_1, \dots, a_m\}$ . Studying the action of g on X we may, therefore, assume that G is a finitely generated Lie group.

**3.11.** We now deduce from Theorem 2.21 the following fact:

**Theorem.** Let  $\tau$  be a unipotent measure-preserving automorphism of G with  $\tau(\Gamma) = \Gamma$ ; then  $\tau$  acts on X. For any  $x \in X$  there exist a connected closed subgroup H of G and points  $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_k \in X$  such that  $Y_j = Hx_j, j = 1, \ldots, k$ , are closed sub-nilmanifolds of X, and for each  $j = 1, \ldots, k$  the sequence  $\{\tau^{j+kn}x\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$  is well distributed on  $Y_j$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\widehat{G}$  be the extension of G by  $\tau$ ; by Proposition 3.9  $\widehat{G}$  is a nilpotent Lie group. Let  $\widehat{\tau}$  be the element in  $\widehat{G}$  representing  $\tau$ , so that  $\tau(a) = \widehat{\tau}a\widehat{\tau}^{-1}$  for any  $a \in G$ . Let  $\widehat{\Gamma} = \langle \Gamma, \widehat{\tau} \rangle \subseteq \widehat{G}$ . Since  $\tau(\Gamma) = \Gamma$  one has  $\widehat{\Gamma} \cap G = \Gamma$ , so  $\widehat{\Gamma}$  is a discrete subgroup of  $\widehat{G}$  and  $X = \widehat{G}/\widehat{\Gamma}$ . For any  $a \in G$  and  $x = a\widehat{\Gamma} \in X$  one has  $\tau(x) = \tau(a)\widehat{\Gamma} = \widehat{\tau}a\widehat{\tau}^{-1}\widehat{\Gamma} = \widehat{\tau}x$ . By Theorem 2.21, there exists a closed subgroup E of  $\widehat{G}$  such that Ex is closed and  $\{\tau^n x\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$  is well distributed on Ex. Let H be the identity component of E; since  $\widehat{G}/G$  is discrete,  $H \subseteq G$ . Hx is a connected component of Ex; since Ex is compact, it consists of finitely many translates of Hx and so, the stabilizer  $\operatorname{Stab}(Hx)$  of Hx has finite index in E. Let  $b_1, \ldots, b_k \in E$  be a set of representatives of  $E/\operatorname{Stab}(Hx)$  and let  $x_j = b_j x, j = 1, \ldots, k$ . Since H is normal in  $E, b_j Hx = Hb_j x = Hx_j$ ,  $j = 1, \ldots, k$ , these are connected disjoint subnilmanifolds of X and we have  $Ex = \bigcup_{i=1}^{k} b_i Hx = \bigcup_{i=1}^{k} Y_i$ .

$$\begin{split} Ex &= \bigcup_{j=1}^k b_j Hx = \bigcup_{j=1}^k Y_j. \\ \tau \text{ transitively acts on the set } \{Y_1, \dots, Y_k\} \text{ and thus, cyclically permutes these sub-nilmanifolds. Reorder} \\ Y_1, \dots, Y_k \text{ so that } \tau x \in Y_1 \text{ and } \tau(Y_j) = Y_{j+1}, \ j = 1, \dots, k-1. \text{ Then } \tau^{j+kn} x \in Y_j \text{ for all } j \text{ and all } n \in \mathbb{Z}. \\ \text{The sequence } \{\tau^{j+kn} x\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} = \{(\tau^k)^n (\tau^j x)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{ is therefore well distributed on } Y_j \text{ for each } j. \end{split}$$

**3.12.** The following simple example demonstrates that in Theorem 3.11,  $\overline{\{\tau^n(x)\}}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$  need not be of the form Hx where H is a subgroup of G.

**Example.** Let  $G = X = (\mathbb{Z}_3)^3$  and a unipotent automorphism  $\tau$  of X be defined by  $\tau(a, b, c) = (a, b+a, c+b)$ , then  $\tau^3 = \mathrm{Id}_X$ . Take  $x = (1, 0, 0) \in X$ . Then  $\tau(x) = (1, 1, 0), \tau^2(x) = (1, 2, 1)$ , and  $\{\tau^n(x)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} = \{(1, 0, 0), (1, 1, 0), (1, 2, 1)\}$  is not a coset of a subgroup of X.

**3.13.** Following 2.6 and 2.7, choose a basis  $\{a_1, \ldots, a_l, e_1, \ldots, e_m\}$  in G, where  $a_1, \ldots, a_l \in \Gamma \cap G^o$  and  $e_1^d, \ldots, e_m^d \in \Gamma$  for some  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that every element a of G can be written in the form  $a = a_1^{t_1} \ldots a_l^{t_l} e_1^{n_1} \ldots e_m^{n_m}$  with  $t_1, \ldots, t_l \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $n_1, \ldots, n_m \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Any polynomial sequence g in G is then representable in the form  $g(n) = a_1^{p_1(n)} \ldots a_l^{p_l(n)} e_1^{q_1(n)} \ldots e_m^{q_m(n)}$ , where  $p_1, \ldots, p_l$  are polynomials  $\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $q_1, \ldots, q_m$  are polynomials  $\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ .

Let  $D = \langle a_1, \ldots, a_l, e_1, \ldots, e_m \rangle$ . In a finitely generated nilpotent group any subgroup generated by nontrivial powers of the generators has finite index, so  $\Gamma \cap D$  has finite index in D. Thus, there exists  $s \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $b^s \in \Gamma$  for any  $b \in D$ .

**3.14.** Proposition. Let g be a polynomial sequence in G. There exists a nilpotent Lie group  $\widetilde{G}$  with a discrete uniform subgroup  $\widetilde{\Gamma}$ , an epimorphism  $\eta: \widetilde{G} \longrightarrow G$  with  $\eta(\widetilde{\Gamma}) \subseteq \Gamma$ , a unipotent automorphism  $\tau$  of  $\widetilde{G}$  with  $\tau(\widetilde{\Gamma}) = \widetilde{\Gamma}$ , and an element  $c \in \widetilde{G}$  such that  $g(n) = \eta(\tau^n(c)), n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\{a_1, \ldots, a_l, e_1, \ldots, e_m\}$  be a basis of G described in 3.13 and let  $s \in \mathbb{N}$  be such that  $b^s \in \Gamma$  for any b from the (discrete) group generated by  $\{a_1, \ldots, a_l, e_1, \ldots, e_m\}$ . Let F be a free nilpotent Lie group with continuous generators  $a_1, \ldots, a_l$  and discrete generators  $e_1, \ldots, e_m$ , and let  $\eta': F \longrightarrow G$  be the natural epimorphism. Then  $\eta'(b^s) \in \Gamma$  for any  $b \in \Gamma(F)$ .

Let  $g(n) = a_1^{p_1(n)} \cdot a_l^{p_l(n)} e_1^{q_1(n)} \cdot e_m^{q_m(n)}$ , where  $p_1, \ldots, p_l$  are polynomials  $\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $q_1, \ldots, q_m$  are polynomials  $\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ . Let  $\widetilde{G}$  be the free nilpotent Lie group with continuous generators  $\{b_{i,0} = a_i, b_{i,1}, \ldots, b_{i,\deg p_i}\}_{i=1,\ldots,l}$  and discrete generators  $\{d_{j,0} = e_j, d_{j,1}, \ldots, d_{j,\deg q_j}\}_{j=1,\ldots,m}$ . Let B be the normal closure in  $\widetilde{G}$  of the group generated by  $\{b_{i,1}^t, \ldots, b_{i,\deg p_i}^t\}_{i=1,\ldots,l}$  and  $\{d_{j,1}, \ldots, d_{j,\deg q_j}\}_{j=1,\ldots,m}$ ; then  $F \simeq \widetilde{G}/B$ . Let  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ 

 $\eta'': \widehat{G} \longrightarrow F$  be the factorization mapping and let  $\eta = \eta'' \circ \eta'$ .

Let  $\widetilde{\Gamma}$  be the subgroup of  $\Gamma(\widetilde{G})$  generated by the *s*-th powers of the elements of  $\widetilde{G}$ ,  $\widetilde{\Gamma} = \langle \{\gamma^s, \gamma \in \Gamma(\widetilde{G})\} \rangle$ . Then  $\widetilde{\Gamma}$  has finite index in  $\Gamma(\widetilde{G})$  and so, is uniform in  $\widetilde{G}$ . One has  $\eta(\widetilde{\Gamma}) \subseteq \Gamma$  and  $\tau(\widetilde{\Gamma}) = \widetilde{\Gamma}$  for any automorphism  $\tau$  of  $\Gamma(\widetilde{G})$ .

We define  $\tau: \widetilde{G} \longrightarrow \widetilde{G}$  by  $\tau(a_i) = a_i$   $(i = 1, \ldots, l), \tau(b_{i,k}) = b_{i,k}b_{i,k-1}$   $(k = 1, \ldots, \deg p_i, i = 1, \ldots, l),$ 

 $\tau(e_j) = e_j \ (j = 1, \dots, m), \ \tau(d_{j,k}) = d_{j,k}d_{j,k-1} \ (k = 1, \dots, \deg q_j, \ j = 1, \dots, m).$  So defined,  $\tau$  induces a unipotent automorphism of  $\widetilde{G}/\widetilde{G}_2$ . By Propositions 3.2, 3.5 and 3.8,  $\tau$  is a unipotent automorphism of  $\widetilde{G}$ .

For  $i \in \{1, \ldots, l\}$  let  $p_i(n) = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \binom{n}{1} + \alpha_2 \binom{n}{2} + \ldots + \alpha_k \binom{n}{k}, \alpha_0, \ldots, \alpha_k \in \mathbb{R}$ . Define  $u_i = a_i^{\alpha_0} b_{i,1}^{\alpha_1} \ldots b_{i,k}^{\alpha_k}$ , then  $\tau^n(u_i) = a_i^{\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \binom{n}{1} + \alpha_2 \binom{n}{2} + \ldots + \alpha_k \binom{n}{k}} h(n) = a_i^{p_i(n)} h(n)$ , where  $h(n) \in B$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Similarly, if for  $j \in \{1, \ldots, m\}, q_j(n) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \binom{n}{1} + \beta_2 \binom{n}{2} + \ldots + \beta_k \binom{n}{k}, \beta_0, \ldots, \beta_k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , define  $v_j = e_j^{\beta_0} d_{j,1}^{\beta_1} \ldots d_{j,k}^{\beta_k}$ ; then  $\tau^n(v_j) = e_j^{q_j(n)} h'(n)$  with  $h'(n) \in B$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Put  $c = u_1 \ldots u_l v_1 \ldots v_m$ , then  $\eta(\tau^n(c)) = g(n)$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

**3.15.** Proof of Theorem B. Let  $\pi: G \longrightarrow X$  be the factorization mapping. Let us assume that  $x = \pi(\mathbf{1}_G)$ ; otherwise, if  $x = \pi(a)$  for  $a \in G$ , we write  $g(n)x = g(n)a\pi(\mathbf{1}_G)$  and replace g(n) by g(n)a. Find  $\tilde{G}$ ,  $\tilde{\Gamma}$  and c as in Proposition 3.14 and let  $\tilde{X} = \tilde{G}/\tilde{\Gamma}$ . The epimorphism  $\eta: \tilde{G} \longrightarrow G$  factors to  $\eta: \tilde{X} \longrightarrow X$ , so that if  $\tilde{\pi}: \tilde{G} \longrightarrow \tilde{X}$  is the factorization mapping, then  $\pi \circ \eta = \eta \circ \tilde{\pi}$ . Let  $\tilde{x} = \tilde{\pi}(\mathbf{1}_{\tilde{G}})$ , then  $\eta(\tau^n(c\tilde{x})) = g(n)x$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . By Theorem 3.11, there exist a connected closed subgroup  $\tilde{H}$  of  $\tilde{G}$  and points  $\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2, \ldots, \tilde{x}_k \in \tilde{X}$  such that, for each  $j = 1, \ldots, k$ ,  $\{\tau^{j+kn}(c\tilde{x})\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$  is well distributed on  $\tilde{H}\tilde{x}_j$ . Let  $H = \eta(\tilde{H})$  and  $x_j = \eta(\tilde{x}_j), j = 1, \ldots, k$ . Since, for each  $j = 1, \ldots, k$ ,  $\tilde{H}\tilde{x}_j$  is compact,  $Y_j = Hx_j = \eta(\tilde{H}\tilde{x}_j)$  is a connected sub-nilmanifold of X, and the H-invariant measure on  $Y_j$  is the  $\eta$ -image of the  $\tilde{H}$ -invariant measure on  $\tilde{H}\tilde{x}_j$ . Hence, for each  $j = 1, \ldots, k$ ,  $\{\eta(\tau^{j+kn}(c\tilde{x}))\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} = \{g(j+kn)x\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$  is well distributed on  $Y_j$ .

**3.16.** Proof of Theorem C. Let, in accordance with Theorem B, a connected closed subgroup H of G and points  $x_1, \ldots, x_k \in X$  be such that  $\overline{\{g(n)x\}}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} = \bigcup_{j=1}^k Hx_j$ . If (i) holds, then  $\overline{\{g(n)x\}}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} = X$  and since X is connected,  $Hx_1 = \ldots = Hx_k = X$ . So, Hx = X and  $\{g(n)x\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is well distributed on X. Hence (i) implies (ii).

Let  $T = [G^o, G^o] \setminus X$  and  $p: X \longrightarrow T$  be the factorization mapping. Assume that the sequence  $\{g(n)p(x)\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$  is dense in T. Then  $T = \bigcup_{j=1}^k Hp(x_j)$ , and since T is connected,  $Hp(x_j) = T$  for some j. Hence,  $H[G^o, G^o](\Gamma \cap G^o) = G^o$ , and since  $\Gamma$  is countable,  $H[G^o, G^o] = G^o$ . By Lemma 3.4,  $H = G^o$ , so  $\{g(n)x\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} = Hx_1 = X$ , and (iii) implies (i).

Acknowledgment. I thank V. Bergelson and H. Furstenberg for useful communications. I am very thankful to B. Host, N. Frantzikinakis and B. Kra for correcting severe mistakes in the preprint.

## Bibliography

- [AGH] L. Auslander, L. Green and F. Hahn, Flows on Homogeneous Spaces, Annals of Math. Studies, 53, Princeton Univ. Press, 1963.
- [BL] V. Bergelson and A. Leibman, A nilpotent Roth theorem, Inventiones Mathematicae 147 (2002), no.2, 429– 470.
- [F] H. Furstenberg, Recurrence in Ergodic Theory and Combinatorial number Theory, Princeton Univ. Press, 1981.
- [L1] A. Leibman, Multiple recurrence theorem for nilpotent group actions, Geom. and Func. Anal. 4 (1994), 648– 659.
- [L2] A. Leibman, Multiple recurrence theorem for measure preserving actions of a nilpotent group, Geom. and Funct. Anal. 8 (1998), 853–931.
- [Le] E. Lesigne, Sur une nil-variété, les parties minimales assocées à une translation sont uniquement ergodiques, Ergod. Th. and Dynam. Sys. 11 (1991), 379-391.
- [M] A. Malcev, On a class of homogeneous spaces, *Izvestiya Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Mat.* 13 (1949), 9-32.
- [MZ] D. Montgomery and L. Zippin, Topological Transformation Groups, Interscience Publishers, 1955.
- [P1] W. Parry, Ergodic properties of affine transformations and flows on nilmanifolds, Amer. J. Math. 91 (1969), 757-771.
- [P2] W. Parry, Dynamical systems on nilmanifolds, Bull. London Math. Soc. 2 (1970), 37-40.
- [R] M. Ratner, Raghunatan's topological conjecture and distribution of unipotent flows, *Duke Math. J.* **61** (1991), no.1, 235-280.

- [Sh1] N. Shah, Limit distributions of polynomial trajectories on homogeneous spaces, Duke Math. J. 75 (1994), no.3, 711-732.
- [Sh2] N. Shah, Invariant measures and orbit closures on homogeneous spaces for actions of subgroups generated by unipotent elements, *Lie groups and ergodic theory (Mumbai, 1996)*, 229-271, Tata Inst. Fund. Res., Bombay, 1998.