

15.May.2006

Thank you Soonsang Hong

4.3

9. *Proof [by Mathematical Induction]:***The property is true for  $n = 0$ :**When  $n = 0$ ,  $7^0 - 1 = 0$ . 0 is divisible by 6 because  $0 = 4 \cdot 0$ . Thus the property is true for  $n = 0$ .**For all integers  $n \geq 0$ , if the property is true for  $n = k$  then it is true for  $n = k + 1$ :**Let  $k$  be an integer with  $k \geq 0$ , and suppose the property is true for  $n = k$ . That is suppose  $7^k - 1$  is divisible by 6. [*This is the inductive hypothesis*].Now, we want to show  $7^{k+1} - 1$  is divisible by 6.

$$7^{k+1} - 1 = 7^k \cdot 7 - 1 = 7^k \cdot (6+1) - 1 = 7^k \cdot 6 + (7^k - 1)$$

By the inductive hypothesis,  $7^k - 1 = 6r$  for some integer  $r$ . By substitution into equation,

$$7^{k+1} - 1 = 7^k \cdot 6 + 6r = 6(7^k + r)$$

But  $7^k + r$  is an integer because sums and products of integers are integers. Therefore,  $7^{k+1} - 1$  is divisible by 6 by the definition of divisibility.18. *Proof [by Mathematical Induction]:***The property is true for  $n = 2$ :**When  $n = 2$ , the property says that  $5^2 + 9 = 34 < 36 = 6^2$ .**For all integers  $n \geq 2$ , if the property is true for  $n = k$  then it is true for  $n = k + 1$ :**Let  $k$  be an integer with  $k \geq 2$ , and suppose the property is true for  $n = k$ . That is, suppose  $5^k + 9 < 6^k$ . [*The inductive hypothesis*]Now, we want to show  $5^{k+1} + 9 < 6^{k+1}$  is true.

$$6(5^k + 9) < 6 \cdot 6^k \text{ by the laws of exponents and the inductive hypothesis. - *}$$

Since  $k \geq 2$ ,  $5 \cdot 5^k < 6 \cdot 5^k$  and  $9 < 9 \cdot 6$ 

$$5^{k+1} + 9 = 5 \cdot 5^k + 9 < 6 \cdot 5^k + 9 \cdot 6 = 6(5^k + 9) < 6 \cdot 6^k = 6^{k+1} \text{ by the inequality *}$$

Hence,

$$5^{k+1} + 9 < 6^{k+1}$$

25. *Proof [by Mathematical Induction]:***The property is true for  $n = 0$ :**The left-hand side of the equation is  $(b_0)^2 = 5^2 = 25$ . The right-hand side of the equation is  $16 \cdot 0^2 = 0$ . Thus, the inequalities  $25 > 0$  and the property is true for  $n = 0$ .

**For all integers  $n \geq 0$ , if the property is true for  $n = k$  then it is true for  $n = k + 1$ :**

Let  $k$  be an integer with  $k \geq 0$ , and suppose the property is true for  $n = k$ . That is, suppose  $(b_k)^2 > 16 \cdot k^2$ . [The inductive hypothesis]

Now, we want to show  $(b_{k+1})^2 > 16 \cdot (k+1)^2$  is true.

$b_{k+1} = 4 + b_k$  by definition of the sequence  $b_1, b_2, b_3, \dots$

Then,  $(b_{k+1})^2 = (4+b_k)^2 = 16 + 8b_k + b_k^2 < 16+8(4k)+16k^2=16+32k+16k^2=16(1+2k+k^2)= 16(k+1)^2$  since (by inductive hypothesis) we have  $(b_k)^2 > 16 \cdot k^2$  and (taking the square-root of both sides)  $b_k > 4k$ .

Hence,  $(b_{k+1})^2 > 16 \cdot (k+1)^2$

4.4

2. Proof [by Strong Mathematical Induction]:

**The property is true for  $n = 1$  and  $n = 2$ :**

Observe that  $b_1 = 4$  and  $b_2 = 12$ , and both  $b_1$  and  $b_2$  are divisible by 4 because  $b_1 = 4 \cdot 1$ ,  $b_2 = 4 \cdot 3$ . So, the property is true for  $n = 1$  and  $n = 2$ .

**For any integer  $k > 2$ , if the property is true for all integers  $i$  with  $1 \leq i < k$ , then it is true for  $k$ :**

Let  $k > 2$  be an integer, and suppose  $b_i$  is divisible by 4 for all integers  $i$  with  $1 \leq i < k$ . – [The inductive hypothesis.]

Now, we want to show  $b_k$  is divisible by 4.

$b_k = b_{k-2} + b_{k-1}$  by definition of  $b_1, b_2, b_3, \dots$

By the inductive hypothesis,  $b_{k-2}$  and  $b_{k-1}$  are divisible by 4 and can be represented  $b_{k-2} = 4r$  and  $b_{k-1} = 4s$  for some integers  $r, s$ . Hence  $b_k = 4r + 4s$  by substitution.  $b_k = 4(r + s)$  by algebra. And  $r + s$  is an integer because sums of integers are integers. Therefore, by the definition of divisibility,  $b_k$  is divisible by 4.

5. Proof [by Strong Mathematical Induction]:

**The property is true for  $n = 0$ ,  $n = 1$  and  $n = 2$ :**

$e_0 = 1$ ,  $e_1 = 2$  and  $e_2 = 3$ , and  $1 \leq 1$ ,  $2 \leq 3$  and  $3 \leq 9$ . So, the property is true for  $n = 0, 1$  and  $2$ .

**For any integer  $k > 2$ , if the property is true for all integers  $i$  with  $1 \leq i < k$ , then it is true for  $k$ :**

Let  $k > 2$  be an integer, and suppose  $e_i \leq 3^i$  for all integers  $i$  with  $1 \leq i < k$ . – [The inductive hypothesis.]

Now, we want to show  $e_i \leq 3^i$ .

$e_i = e_{i-1} + e_{i-2} + e_{i-3}$  by definition of  $e_1, e_2, e_3, \dots$

By the inductive hypothesis,  $e_{i-1} + e_{i-2} + e_{i-3} \leq 3^{i-1} + 3^{i-2} + 3^{i-3}$ . - \*

$3^{i-1} + 3^{i-2} + 3^{i-3} = 3^{i-3}(3^2 + 3 + 1) < 3^i = 3^{i-3}(3^3)$ . because  $1 \leq i$  and  $(3^2 + 3 + 1) = 13 < 3^3 = 27$ . - \*\*

Combining inequalities \* and \*\* gives

$e_i = e_{i-1} + e_{i-2} + e_{i-3} \leq 3^i$

19. Proof [by Strong Mathematical Induction on n]:

**The property is true for n = 2:** 2 is prime hence the property is true for n=2.

**For any integer k > 2, if the property is true for all integers i with 1 ≤ i < k, then it is true for k:**

Let n be an integer greater than 1. Consider the set  $S = \{r \in \mathbb{Z} \mid r > 1, r \mid n\}$ . Since any integer greater than one has a prime divisor,  $S \neq \emptyset$ . Then by Well Ordering Principle, S has a smallest element p.

We claim that p is prime:

Suppose not. Then there exists integers r,s >1 such that  $p = rs$ . Then  $r \mid p$  and  $r < p$  (since  $s=1$  if  $r = p$ ). But then (by transitivity of divisibility)  $r \mid p$  and  $p \mid n$  implies  $r \mid n$ . This means  $r \in S$ .

But this is a contradiction to the assumption that p is smallest. Hence, p must be prime

Define  $m=n/p$ . Clearly,  $0 < m < n$  and there are two cases:

Case 1:  $m=1$ . Then  $n=p$  and n is prime.

Case 2:  $m > 1$ . Then, by inductive hypothesis, m is prime or product of primes. Hence,  $n=m \cdot p$  is product of primes.

In any case, n is prime or product of primes. [as was to be shown]

5.1

7. b. Yes, every element of C is in A.

8.

e. Yes.

g. Yes.

h. No.

9.

f.  $B-A = \{6\}$

g.  $B \cup C = \{2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 9\}$

h.  $B \cap C = \{6\}$

11.

a.  $A \cup B = \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid -3 \leq x < 2\}$

b.  $A \cap B = \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid -1 < x \leq 0\}$

c.  $A^c = \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid x < -3 \text{ or } x > 0\}$

d.  $A \cup C = \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid -3 \leq x \leq 0 \text{ or } 6 < x \leq 8\}$

e.  $A \cap C = \emptyset$

f.  $B^c = \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid x \leq -1 \text{ or } 2 \leq x\}$

g.  $A^c \cap B^c = \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid x < -3 \text{ or } 2 \leq x\}$

h.  $A^c \cup B^c = \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid x \leq -1 \text{ or } x > 0\}$

i.  $(A \cap B)^c = \{x \in R \mid x \leq -1 \text{ or } x > 0\}$

j.  $(A \cup B)^c = \{x \in R \mid x < -3 \text{ or } 2 \leq x\}$

28.

a.  $P(\emptyset) = \{\emptyset\}$

c.  $P(P(P(\emptyset))) = \{\emptyset, \{\emptyset\}, \{\{\emptyset\}\}, \{\emptyset, \{\emptyset\}\}\}$

29.

b.  $B \times A = \{(a, x), (a, y), (a, z), (a, w), (b, x), (b, y), (b, z), (b, w)\}$

c.  $A \times A = \{(x, x), (x, y), (x, z), (x, w), (y, x), (y, y), (y, z), (y, w), (z, x), (z, y), (z, z), (z, w), (w, x), (w, y), (w, z), (w, w)\}$